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## Supplemental Material

## ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES

X-ray micro computed tomography (CT) scans were carried out at the Stellenbosch CT Scan Facility. These samples were scanned twice each, with low and high voltage settings. The low voltage scans have improved material discrimination and are hence easier to segment and visualize. The presence of dense inclusions in some samples, which can cause beam hardening artefacts, degrading the image quality, prompted the scanning at high voltage. Scanning at higher voltage reduces material contrast but reduces artefacts from dense inclusions. The combination of the two data sets therefore provides a more holistic analysis and more visualization and analysis capabilities. The low voltage scan settings were as follows: $100 \mathrm{kV}, 200 \mu \mathrm{~A}, 500 \mathrm{~ms}$ per image with no averaging of images and 2000 images in steps in one full rotation of the sample. The high voltage scans were done at $160 \mathrm{kV}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~A}, 1000 \mathrm{~ms}$ per image with averaging of 2 images per step position with 1600 images in one full rotation of the sample. In the high voltage case 0.5 mm of copper filters were used for beam filtration. The scan resolution was set to $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in all scans, and post-scan data reconstruction made use of a beam hardening correction algorithm with a relatively high value of 7 (values from 0 to 10 are possible in the Datos software provided with this instrument). This beam hardening correction corrects for the sometimes-visible cupping effect, when the edge seems brighter than the middle of a sample, also inherently due to beam hardening.

Major mineral phases (garnet, clinopyroxene and diamond) within the diamondiferous eclogite displayed sufficiently different X-ray absorption for them to be distinguished using X-ray CT scanning (Figure S6). In general, diamonds display a low absorption, with clinopyroxene and secondary phases displaying overlapping intermediate absorption and garnet displaying relatively high absorption (Figure S6).

## SAMPLE LOCATIONS

The Newlands and Excelsior olivine lamproites, formerly Group II kimberlites and orangeites, are located in the Barkly West district, to the NW of Kimberley. Newlands consists of a series of en-echelon dykes and blows. Rb-Sr dates suggest an age of $114.1+/-1.6 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Smith et al. 1985). Re-Os systematics for the diamond-bearing eclogites suggest formation in the Archean (Menzies et al., 2003). The Excelsior (later called Ardo) mine is no longer in operation. It is on a southern offset of an extension of the Sover-Doornfontein-Mitchemanskraal dyke systems (close to the Frank Smith kimberlite). Two major phases of olivine lamproite occur, which are known as the Black and Red phases. Sampled diamond indicator minerals from the Black, more
diamondiferous, phase are $90 \%$ peridotitic garnets; the Red phase contains $54 \%$ peridotitic and $45 \%$ eclogitic garnets.

The Orapa kimberlite is located on the western margin of the Zimbabwe craton and consists of two pipes that coalesce near the surface (Field et al., 1997). The Orapa kimberlite erupted in the Cretaceous and has a U-Pb age of 93.1 Ma (Allsopp et al., 1989). Orapa is well-known to contain abundant eclogite xenoliths relative to peridotitic with both graphite- and diamond-bearing eclogites been recovered.
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| Sample name | Location | Diamond size (mm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diamond } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Diamond morphology | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EX/10 | Excelsior | $\sim 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 4.2 | Two large euhedral step-faced diamonds. One is a single crystal and the other is an aggregate of multiple crystals | - See SOM Figure 3. |
| EX/15 | Excelsior | Most are $\sim 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ with one larger aggregate $\sim 4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 8.1 | <10 diamonds total all with euhedral step-faced crystals. | - Appear to be associated with metasomatic pathways. <br> - See main manuscript Figure 2 and SOM Figure 1. |
| EX/25 | Excelsior | $\sim 3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 1.7 | One large euhedral step-faced diamond. | - Possibly several very small diamonds. |
| EX/22 | Excelsior | $\sim 5 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 2.3 | One large diamond broken at the surface of the xenolith. The interior of the diamond reveals one crystal face with clear step-faces and a second that is resorbed and cracked. | - Possibly several smaller diamonds. |
| EX/19 | Excelsior | One larger $\sim 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ crystal and several $<0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ crystals | 1.9 | Euhedral step-faced crystals with some irregular faces |  |
| EX/20 | Excelsior | $\sim$ Seven larger $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ diamonds and numerous smaller $<0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ crystals | 1.8 | Larger crystals are step-faced either as single stones or aggregates. Smaller diamonds are rounded sometimes with blade-like morphology. | - Two distinct populations: 1) larger euhedral diamonds and 2) smaller rounded diamonds. <br> - Main text Figure 4. |
| EX/21 | Excelsior | Multiple diamonds generally $<1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 2.6 | Euhedral diamonds with step-faces | - Diamond exposed at the surface contains indications of initial stages of lamproite resorption in the development of pseudo-ditrigonal faces. See SOM Figure 3. |
| EX/18 | Excelsior | $\sim 50$ diamonds in two populations. <br> - Population 1: 1-2 mm <br> - Population 2: $<0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 6.4 | - Population 1: Uniform size, euhedral stepped-faced crystals and aggregates. <br> - Population 2: Highly rounded, resorbed crystals. | - Diamonds of population 1 with step-faced crystals are arranged in two distinct planes <br> - Diamonds of population 2 are resorbed and lie off the two planes observed for population 1. <br> - See main manuscript Figure 1 and 4 |
| EX/16 | Excelsior | Tens of diamonds ranging in size from sub-mm to 2 mm | 2.7 | Euhedral step-faced crystals | - Diamonds appear in two distinct planes. |
| EX/24 | Excelsior | Uniform in size $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ with few small $<0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ diamonds | 9.0 | High abundance of diamonds predominantly of euhedral step-faced crystals. | - See main manuscript Figure 1. |
| EX/17 | Excelsior | Large variation in size. Six diamonds $\sim 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ in size. One much larger and several much smaller. | 1.2 | Euhedral step-faced crystals. |  |
| AK1/10 | Orapa | Single diamond of $\sim 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 1.6 | Euhedral step-faced crystal. Appears to be flattened/resorbed on the inside of the xenolith compared to euhedral on the part sticking out. | - Pseudohemimorphic crystal but in the opposite orientation to that expected with resorbed face on the interior of the xenolith. |
| AK1/19 | Orapa | Range in size from $\sim 0.5$ to 3 mm | 4.9 | All diamonds are rounded with near spherical morphology. No euhedral faces observed even on diamonds that are completely contained within the xenolith. | - See main manuscript Figure 3. |
| JJG144 | Newlands | Single large $\sim 4 \mathrm{~mm}$ diamond | 2.2 | Single diamond with multiple crystals of step-faced diamonds. |  |
| 21a | Newlands | High abundance >12 diamonds with a range in size. | na | Abundant rounded and unusual diamond morphologies |  |
| 21b | Newlands | High abundance $>30$ diamonds with range in size up to 1.5 mm . | na | All diamonds are rounded with near spherical morphology. No euhedral faces observed even on diamonds that are completely contained within the xenolith. | - One highly unusual hook-shaped phase with diamond attenuation but not clear if it is a diamond. <br> - See SOM Figure 5 |
| 21c | Newlands | Two diamonds of different sizes. <2mm. | na | Two diamonds that are both rounded. |  |
| 21d | Newlands | Large size range with one large $\sim 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ diamond and multiple smaller $<1 \mathrm{~mm}$ diamonds. | na | All diamonds are rounded with near spherical morphology. No euhedral faces observed even on diamonds that are completely contained within the xenolith. | - See SOM Figure 5 |
| 21e | Newlands | Large size range with one large $\sim 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ diamond and multiple smaller $<1 \mathrm{~mm}$ diamonds. | na | All diamonds are rounded with near spherical morphology. No euhedral faces observed even on diamonds that are completely contained within the xenolith. | - See SOM Figure 5 |
| K2 | Newlands | Multiple diamonds of uniform size $\sim 2 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 0.93 | All diamonds are rounded with near spherical morphology. No euhedral faces observed even on diamonds that are completely contained within the xenolith. | - Multiple diamonds have been removed from the surface of the xenolith and so the abundance is underestimated. All cavities left are rounded indicating that diamonds extracted were likely rounded as well. See main manuscript Figure 3 and SOM Figure 4. |
| JJG4001 | Newlands | Two large diamonds $\sim 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ and several smaller stones $<0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 4.5 | Large and small stones have euhedral step-faced crystal morphology. |  |
| AHM/K16 | Newlands | Two diamonds of differing properties. One large 3mm in size the other much smaller $<0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 1.6 | Larger diamond is euhedral with step-faces. Smaller diamond is rounded to near sphere in morphology. | - See main manuscript Figure 2 and 4 c |
| JJGX01 | Newlands | Single diamond $\sim 2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 1.5 | Distinct pseudohemimorphic morphology where diamond is euhedral with step-faces inside the xenolith but where exposed at the surface is rounded and resorbed. | - Only xenolith with distinct pseudohemimorphic diamond. <br> - See SOM Figure 2. |
| JJGX002 | Newlands | Unusual elongate diamonds $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length. | 2.5 | Unusual blade-like diamonds elongate in shape. | - Unusual morphology. Unique in this suite of xenoliths. |

