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## SUPPLEMENTAL METHODS

## Details of Ras Gharib A

The Ras Gharib A site is located in the Eastern Desert of Egypt, approximately 85 km to the west of the city of Ras Gharib on the coast of the Gulf of Suez ( $28^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 32^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; Fig. 1). This locality exposes sedimentary rocks ranging in age from Late Cretaceous to Eocene (Fig. S1A). Stratigraphic sections for Ras Gharib A were created (Figs 2, S2) and lithological samples were collected for the study of calcareous nannofossils (Tables S1-S3). Fish specimens reported here derive from the brown phosphatic shale immediately above the black shale that marks the base of the Dababiya Quarry Member (DQM) of the Esna Shale Formation (Fig. S1B).

## Fossil Excavation and Collection

Fossil fishes occur throughout the $\sim 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ band of phosphatic shale at the base of the DQM (Fig. 2, Fig. S2), and were collected by quarrying. The most delicate fish specimens, including those preserved across several fragmented blocks, were encased in plaster of Paris in situ and cut out of the rock. Most fossils needed minimal preparation. Overlying sediment was removed from larger, more stable specimens using pin vises under a microscope. All specimens are curated at the Mansoura University Vertebrate Paleontology center (MUVP), Mansoura University, Egypt, in accordance with provincial laws.

## Biostratigraphic Approach

Standard smear slides were prepared for the study of calcareous nannoplankton following the method described by Perch-Nielsen (1985) and Bown and Young (1998). These were studied with a polarizing microscope (Tanta University) at magnification of x1250, using bright field and crosspolarized light. Some of the stratigraphically important nannofossil species were photographed (Fig. S2). We used the Paleogene nannofossil zone (NP) of Martini (1971), the Paleogene nannofossil zone (CP) of Okada and Bukry (1980), and the Paleocene calcareous nannofossil zone (CNP) and the Eocene calcareous nannofossil zone (CNP) of Agnini et al (2014) for the upper Paleocene-lower Eocene interval (Table S2).

## Faunal Analysis

In order to place the Ras Gharib A fauna in the broader context of Late Cretaceous and early Paleogene fish assemblages, we undertook quantitative comparison of the composition of marine faunas of this age. We considered the following body-fossil (rather than otolith) faunas based on available literature:

Latest Campanian-earliest Maastrichtian: Salento limestone, Nardò, Italy (Belmonte et al., 2016).

Maastrichtian: Ciply Malogne Phosphatic Chalk Formation, Belgium (Friedman, 2012); Maastricht Formation, the Netherlands (Friedman, 2012); Pindos Unit, Eurytania, Greece (Argyriou and Davesne, 2021).

Danian: Copenhagen Limestone Formation, Limhamn Quarry, Sweden (Davis, 1890; Adolfssen et al., 2017); Tenejapa and Lacandón formations, Palenque, Mexico (AlvaradoOrtega et al., 2015; Cantalice and Alvarado-Ortega, 2016; Cantalice et al. 2018, 2020).

Selandian: Lanada Section (Layer 5), Cabinda Enclave, Angola (Solé et al., 2019).
PETM: Dababiya Quarry Member, Ras Gharib A, Egypt (this study); Danata Formation, Uilya-Kushlyuk, Turkmenistan (Bannikov and Parin, 1997; Bannikov, 2000); Stolleklint Clay, Denmark (Bonde, 1997 Bonde et al., 2008); Kheu River, Kabardino-Balkaria (Bannikov and Carnevale, 2012; Bannikov et al., 2017).

Ypresian: "Nummulitic Limestone," Bolca, Italy (Carnevale et al., 2014; Davesne et al., 2016; Marramà et al., 2019, 2020); Monte Solane, Italy (Giusberti et al., 2014); London Clay Formation, United Kingdom (Friedman et al., 2016); Fur Formation, Fur, Denmark (Bonde, 1997; Bonde et al., 2008); Kapurdi Formation, Rajasthan, India (Sanhi and Choudhary, 1972; Bannikov and Tyler, 1994; Friedman and Johnson, 2005; Forey and Hilton, 2010); Cucullaea I Allomember, La Meseta Formation, Seymour Island, Antarctica (Reguero et al., 2012).

Lutetian: Calcaire Grossier, Paris Basin, France (Priem, 1908; Gaudant, 1979; Merle, 2008); Lillebaelt Clay, Denmark (Schwarzhans, 2007; Bonde et al., 2008); Dabakhan Canyon, Georgia (Bannikov and Parin, 1997).

Bartonian: Gorny Luch, North Caucasus (Bannikov and Parin, 1997; Bannikov and Tyler, 2001, 2006, 2008; Bannikov, 2002, 2004a, b; Prokofiev and Bannikov, 2002; Bannikov et al., 2011).
?Priabonian: Pabdeh Formaton, Elam, Iran; Istehbanât, Iran (Arambourg, 1967).
Taxonomic conventions. We assembled faunal lists based on overviews of particular assemblages augmented with subsequent papers describing additional taxa. In rare cases, we re-assigned taxa to other families based on subsequent studies or our own observations of fossil material. For the latter case, we emphasize the so-called 'veliferoid' lampidiforms. Here we regard $\dagger$ Turkmene and $\dagger$ Whitephippus as members of Lamprididae, $\dagger$ Bathysoma and $\dagger$ Palaeocentrotus as members of $\dagger$ Palaeocentrotidae (Bannikov, 2014), and $\dagger$ Danatina and various $\dagger$ Analectis-like taxa as members of an unnamed group we informally term 'analectids.'

Level of taxonomic comparison. Data were compiled at the family level where possible, reflecting the fact that the vast majority of genera are restricted to specific localities. We did make limited use of more inclusive groups. This reflected cases where fossil specimens clearly belong to clades including multiple families, but are either: (1) placed in monotypic families restricted to single localities, or (2) are of uncertain familial placement. To accommodate such instances, we used the following suprafamilial clades in our compendium in lieu of their constituent families:

Gadiformes minus Bregmacerotidae; Xiphioidei (excluding $\dagger$ Palaeorhynchidae), Stromateoidei, Trichiuroidei, Clupeoidei, Engrauloidei, Ellimmichthyiformes, Anguilloidei, and Zeiformes. We also used suprafamilial clades in two additional lineages: Tetraodontiformes and Syngnathiformes. For tetraodontiforms, we recognize the following groups based on the total-evidence phylogeny presented by Arcila and Tyler (2017): Triacanthoidea (Triacanthidae, Triacanthodidae, $\dagger$ Moclaybalistidae), Ostracioidea ( $\dagger$ Spinacanthidae, $\dagger$ Protobalistidae, Ostraciidae, Aracanidae), Balistoidea (Balistidae, Monocanthidae, $\dagger$ Bolcabalistidae, $\dagger$ Eospinidae), Triodontoidea ( $\dagger$ Ctenoplectus, Triodon), Moloidea ( $\dagger$ Zignoichthyidae, Molidae), Tetraodontoidea ( $\dagger$ Balkariidae, Tetraodontidae, Diodontidae). This leaves only one monotypic tetraodontiform group in our compendium: $\dagger$ Eoplectidae, which branches from the gymnodont stem. For syngnathiforms, we recognize the groups Centriscoidea (Centriscidae, Macroramphosidae, †Gasterorhamphosus, $\dagger$ Gerpegezhidae) and Aulostomoidea (Solenostomidae, $\dagger$ Eekaulostomidae, Fistulariidae, Aulostomidae, Syngnathidae). The Eocene $\dagger$ Aulorhamphidae is incertae sedis among these lineages and we include it as its own clade in our faunal compendium.

Analysis of faunal data. Several metrics are available for comparing the similarities of assemblages based on taxonomic presence/absence data, and their relative merits have been extensively debated in the literature (e.g., Koleff et al., 2003). Issues like unequal sample sizes and variable sampling intensity are often exacerbated for paleontological datasets. Alroy (2015a, b) has shown that a modification of the Forbes index (Forbes, 1907) is relatively robust to the kinds of sampling issues known to afflict presence/absence data in paleontology. We used the R script for calculating the Forbes index provided by Brocklehurst et al. (2018) to determine pairwise distances between all of our assemblages. To determine the number of distinct clusters in our faunal data, we used the average silhouette width criterion for dissimilarity data using the pamk () function in the R package fpc (Hennig, 2020). We performed both non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) using the metaMDS () function in the R package vegan (Oksanen et al., 2020) and classic multidimensional scaling (i.e., principal coordinates analysis) using the function pcoa() in the ape package using the correction = "lingoes" option to implement the Lingoes (1971) procedure for yielding results without negative eigenvalues. This permitted visualization of the similarities among assemblages. We found that an NMDS implementing two axes had an acceptable level of stress ( $\sim 0.17$ ), and also visualized our results from classical scaling on two axes. Ordinations are visualized in Fig. 4 and Supplemental Fig. 7.

Faunal data, an associated R script, and a distance matrix for all assemblages are provided as separate files in Supplemental Material.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESULTS

## Calcareous Nannofossil Biostratigraphy of Ras Gharib A

Preservation of the diverse Paleocene-Eocene calcareous nannofossil assemblage of Ras Gharib A varies from moderate to good, except for a dissolution interval devoid of nannofossils that spans the lowermost samples (E31 to E35) of the Dababyia Quarry Member. Recognized nannofossil
biozones noted for the section are briefly discussed from base to top. Abbreviations: $\mathrm{FO}=$ first occurrence, and $\mathrm{LO}=$ last occurrence. In the present study, two nannofossil biozones were identified, and arranged from base to top.

1. Discoaster mohleri - Heliolithus riedelii interval Zone (NP7-8). This zone is defined as the stratigraphic interval from the FO of D. mohleri to the FO of D. multiradiatus. Romein (1979) introduced the $D$. mohleri Zone to include the interval spanning the $D$. mohleri and $H$. riedelii zones of Bramlette and Sullivan (1961) because $H$. riedelii is rare and/or difficult to identify. The contact between zones NP 7-8 and NP9 coincides with the Tarawan/Esna formational contact, with Zone NP7-8 restricted to the Tarawan Formation.
2. Discoaster multiradiatus Zone (NP9). Martini (1971) used the appearance of Marthasterites bramlettei (Tribrachiatus bramlettei) to define Top Zone NP9, whereas Okada and Bukry (1980) used the appearance of both Campylosphaera eodela and Rhomboaster spp. to define Top Subzone CP8a.The disappearance of Fasciculithus richardii was used to define Top Zone CNP11 (upper Paleocene; Agnini et al., 2014).

Some authors consider Tribrachiatus bramlettei and Rhomboaster cuspis as synonyms (e.g., Von Salis et al., 2000). According this view, the bases of Zone NP10 and Subzone NP9b are coincident. On the other hand, other authors believe that the structures of T. bramlettei and Rhomboaster species are different (e.g., Wei and Zhong, 1996; Raffi et al., 2005; Agnini et al., 2007a, 2007b). The latter concept is followed in our study.

In the present study, the NP9 Zone spans the interval from the first occurrence (FO) of Discoaster multiradiatus to the first occurrence (FO) of Tribrachiatus bramlettei. The D. multiradiatus Zone is equivalent to Zone NP9 of Martini (1971), Subzone CN8a of Okada and Bukry (1980), and CNP11 of Agnini et al. (2014).

A subdivision of Zone NP9 has been suggested by various authors based on the FO of Campylosphaera dela or the FOs of Rhomboaster spp. and Discoaster araneus. In the present study, two biozones were identified: NP9a, and NP9b.

NP9a Subzone (upper Paleocene). This subzone represents the interval between the FO of Discoaster multiradiatus to the FOs of Rhomboaster spp., and Discoaster araneus. The NP9a Subzone spans the stratigraphic interval of El Hanadi Member at the Ras Gharib A. This subzone is characterized by the dominance of the typical Paleocene species of Fasciculithus. Fasciculithus tympaniformis, F. involutus. Fasciculithus alanii, is restricted to Zone NP9a, disappears directly below the P/E boundary (e.g., Dupuis et al., 2003; Faris and Abdel Sabour, 2020). At Ras Garib A, F. alanii persists across the Paleocene/Eocene boundary. Consequently, its LO cannot be used as a reliable marker to approximate the NP9a/NP9b zonal boundary. However, Toweius spp., Coccolithus pelagicus, and Ericsonia
subpertusa and Ericsonia robusta represent common species among the Paleocene nannofossil assemblages in the NP9a Subzone.

NP9b Subzone (lower Eocene). The base of Subzone NP9b defines the base of the Eocene at the GSSP at the Dababiya Quarry section (Aubry et al., 2007). Several Egyptian and Tethyan sections are marked by the presence of a thin dissolution interval (e.g., Tantawy, 1998, 2006; Dupuis et al., 2003; Youssef, 2015, 2016). A thin dissolution interval (7 cm) is observed in the middle part of Zone NP9 (Beds E-31 to E-35) of the Dababyia Quarry Member at Ras Gharib A, and it is marked by absence of calcareous nannofossils. Based only on calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy and without stable isotope data, the $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ boundary cannot accurately be located. The lower part of this 7 cm interval may be upper Paleocene in age. There is a lithologic break between the El Hanadi Member (dark gray shale) and Dababiya Quarry Member (black shale with organic matter) that may suggest the presence of minor hiatus at the Paleocene/Eocene interval at Ras Gharib A. In the study section, the NP9b Subzone is marked by the first occurrences and dominance of typical Eocene species of Discoaster araneus, and Rhomboaster cuspis, R.spineus, Discoaster anartios, D. mahmoudii, and D. paelikei, have their first appearances in the upper part of the Dababiya Quarry Member (upper Subzone NP9b). The first occurrence of Coccolithus bownii is simultaneous with that of $D$. araneus and species of Rhomboaster ( $R$. cuspis, $R$. bitrifida), and occur at the base of Subzone NP9b of the study section (sample E-36). This zone is also characterized by high abundance of Neochiastozygus junctus. The first occurrence of Coccolithus bownii is simultaneous with the first occurrence of D. araneus and Rhomboaster spp at the base of Subzone NP9b at the El Aguz and Darb Gaga sections (Kharga-Baris oases; Metwaly and Mahfouz, 2018). D. mahmoudii first appears in upper part of Subzone NP9b and disappears within Subzone NP10a in Wadi Matulla section of west central Sinai, Egypt (Abu Shama et al., 2007).
3. Tribrachiatus contortus Zone (NP10). This biostratigraphic interval is defined by the FO of Tribrachiatus bramlettei at its base and the LO of Tribrachiatus contortus at its top. Subzone NP10a spans the interval between the FO of Tribrachiatus bramlettei and the FO of T. digitalis. Only the basal part of this zone (Subzone NP10a) was distinguished in the study section. It occupies the topmost part of the Dababiya Quarry Member (samples E49 through E54), as well as the entirety of the El Mahmiya Member (samples E-55 through E65). The NP10a of the study section corresponds to the lower part of CP8a of Okada and Bukry (1980) and lower CNE1 of Agnini et al. (2014). The late Paleocene to early Eocene biozones and bioevents are those according to the standard biozonations of Martini (1971; NP), Okada and Bukry (1980; CN), and Agnini et al. (2014; CNP to CNE). The zonal scheme of the present study is shown in Supplemental Table 1.

Overview of nannofossils at Ras Gharib A. Nannofossils are abundant to few, preservation varies from good to moderate throughout the Paleocene-Eocene interval, and diversity is generally high. The Tarawan Chalk covers with Zone NP7/8. The El Hanadi Member (sample E1- E29/30) belongs to Subzone NP9a, and is characterized by the co-occurrence of Discoaster multiradiatus, D. lenticularius, D. falcatus, D. mohleri, Ellipsolithus macellus, Ericsonia subpertusa, Fasciculithus alanii, F. lilianae, F. tympaniformis, S. primus, Ch. consuetus, Toweius pertusus, and others. A majority of the Dababiya Quarry Member (samples E36-E48) belongs to Subzone NP9b. It contains the so-called Rhomboaster spp.-Discoaster araneus assemblage (RD) that can easily be correlated with the carbon isotope excursion indicative of the onset of the PETM (Dupuis et al. 2003; Aubry et al. 2007). The RD assemblage is indicative of the NP9a/b subzonal boundary (Paleocene/Eocene boundary).

In the present study, the base of the Eocene was placed at the base of the Dababiya Quarry Member in coincidence with the base of Subzone NP9b, which is coincident with the FOs of Rhomboaster bitrifidia, R cuspis, and Discoaster araneus, The Paleocene/Eocene boundary is delineated at the bases of NP10 (Martini, 1971), CP8b (Okada Bukry, 1980), and CNE1 (Agnini et al., 2014).Other stratigraphic marker species (e.g., Rhomboaster calcitripa, Discoaster anartios) appear in higher horizons of the study interval.

As a result of the complete absence of nannofossils in the basal part of the Dababyia Quarry Member (dissolution interval, sample E31 to E35) more detailed studies (paleontological, stratigraphical, stable isotopic) are required to confirm the presence or absence of a minor hiatus at the Paleocene/Eocene boundary at Ras Gharib A.

Calcareous nannoplankton from the Paleocene/Eocene boundary interval at Ras Gharib A suggest extreme warming with increased oligotrophy. Evidence includes the sudden first occurrences of excursion nannofossils (Rhomboaster spp.-Discoaster araneus assemblage), abrupt increase of the warm-water species C. bownii (Bown and Pearson, 2009; Self-Trail et al., 2012), and the high abundance of $C$. pelagicus and Ericsonia subpertusa.

## Conclusions

The biostratigraphic analyses of calcareous nannofossils from the Tarawan and Esna formations at the Ras Gharib A allowed us to recognize the Discoaster mohleri-Heliolithus reidelii Zone (NP7/8) in the upper Tarawan Formation. The Discoaster multiradiatus Zone divided into subzones NP9a of upper Paleocene age and NP9b of lower Eocene age, and covers the El Hanadi Member and the lower part of the Dababiya Quarry Member of the Esna Formation. The NP10a Subzone spans the upper part of the Dababiya Quarry Member and the overlying El Mahmiya Member. At the Ras Gharib A, the lowermost samples (E31 to E35, 7 cm thick), representing the basal part of the Dababyia Quarry Member (dissolution interval) and is barren of calcareous nannofossils. This dissolution interval was previously recorded in numerous sections in Egypt (e.g., Khozyem et al., 2013; Youssef, 2015, 2016; Faris and Abdel Sabour, 2020).

The lower Eocene is placed within the basal part of the Dababyia Quarry Member. The P/E boundary is delineated by the first occurrences of the Rhomboaster spp.-Discoaster araneus (RD) assemblage. R. spineus, Discoaster anartios, D. mahmoudii, and D. paelikei first appear within upper part of NP9b of the study interval.


Figure S1. Geological context of Ras Gharib A section, Eastern Desert, Egypt. (A) field photograph of sedimentary sequence at Ras Gharib A, with divisions between principal stratigraphic units marked. (B) close-up view of the Dababiya Quarry Member of the Esna Shale, with white dotted line showing inferred boundary between the Paleocene and Eocene based on regional correlation. (C) field photograph of the fish-bearing layer within the Dababiya Quarry Member, showing fossil remains in situ.


Figure $\mathbf{S 2}$ (previous page). Measured stratigraphic section of Ras Gharib A section, Eastern Desert, Egypt. Stratigraphic column shows lithostratigraphy of the Tarawan Chalk and the Esna Shale formations. Numbers to the left of the straigraphic column indicate sampling intervals for microfossils. Samples in black text correspond to index calcareous nannoplankton fossils recovered from the Esna Shale shown in individual photographs to the right.

Table S1. Detailed lithostratigraphic description of Ras Gharib A section, Eastern Desert, Egypt. Samples were taken from the middle of the indicated thickness.

| Sample | Description | Thickness (cm) | Calcareous nanoplankton zonation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Esna Shale: El Mahmiya Member |  |  | NP10a |
| E65 | Marl: gray, massive, very hard | 15 |  |
| E64 | Marl: gray, massive, very hard | 15 |  |
| E63 | Marl: gray, massive, very hard | 15 |  |
| E62 | Marl: gray, massive, hard | 15 |  |
| E61 | Marl: gray, massive, very hard | 15 |  |
| E60 | Marl: gray, massive, very hard | 15 |  |
| E59 | Shale: gray, massive, calcareous, very hard | 15 |  |
| E58 | Shale: gray, massive, calcareous, hard | 10 |  |
| E57 | Shale: gray, massive, calcareous, hard | 10 |  |
| E56 | Shale: gray, massive, calcareous, hard | 10 |  |
| E55 | Shale: gray, massive, calcareous, hard | 10 |  |
| Esna Shale: Dababiya Quarry Member |  |  |  |
| E54 | Limestone: gray, massive, very hard | 10 |  |
| E53 | Limestone: gray, massive, very hard | 5 |  |
| E52 | Limestone: gray, massive, very hard | 5 |  |
| E51 | Limestone: gray, massive, very hard | 2.5 |  |
| E50 | Limestone: gray, massive, very hard | 2.5 |  |
| E49 | Limestone: gray, massive, very hard | 5 |  |
| E48 | Limestone: gray, massive, very hard | 5 | NP9b |
| E47 | Limestone: gray, massive, hard | 2.5 |  |
| E46 | Limestone: gray, massive, hard | 2.5 |  |
| E45 | Limestone: light gray, massive, very hard | 5 |  |
| E44 | Limestone: light gray, massive, very hard | 5 |  |
| E43 | Limestone: light gray, massive, very hard | 5 |  |
| E42 | Limestone: light gray, massive, very hard | 2.5 |  |
| E41 | Limestone: light gray, massive, very hard | 2.5 |  |


| E40 | Shale: light brown, fissile, calcareous, slightly hard | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E39 | Shale: grayish brown, fissile, highly calcareous, slightly hard | 2 |  |
| E38 | Shale: grayish brown, fissile, calcareous, slightly hard | 1 |  |
| E37 | Shale: light brown, fissile, slightly calcareous, slightly hard | 1 |  |
| E36 | Shale: light brown, fissile, phosphatic, calcareous, contains fish remains | 2 |  |
| E35 | Shale: dark brown, fissile, phosphatic, contains fish remains | 1 | ? |
| E34 | Shale: brown, fissile, phosphatic | 2 |  |
| E33 | Shale: brown, fissile, phosphatic | 1 |  |
| E32 | Shale: brown, fissile, phosphatic, contains fish remains | 1 |  |
| E31 | Shale: black, fissile, contains organic matter, gypsiferous | 2 |  |
| E29/30 | Shale: black from top and gray from bottom, fissile, moderately hard | negligible | NP9a |
| Esna Shale: El Hanadi Member |  |  |  |
| E29 | Shale: dark gray, fissile, hard, contains black laminae | 1 |  |
| E28 | Shale: dark gray, contains iron oxides, calcareous | 1 |  |
| E27 | Shale: dark gray, fissile, contains black laminae and iron oxides, highly calcareous | 1 |  |
| E26 | Shale: dark gray, fissile, slightly hard, highly calcareous, contains iron oxides | 3 |  |
| E25 | Shale: gray, very hard, contains iron oxides, calcareous, massive | 2 |  |
| E24 | Shale: gray, very hard, contains iron oxides, calcareous, massive | 3 |  |
| E23 | Shale: gray, very hard, contains iron oxides, calcareous | 3 |  |
| E22 | Marl: gray, very hard, massive, highly calcareous | 3 |  |
| E21 | Shale: gray, very hard, massive, calcareous | 3 |  |
| E20 | Marl: light gray, very hard, massive | 2.5 |  |
| E19 | Marl: light gray, very hard, massive | 5 |  |
| E18 | Marl: light gray, very hard, massive | 5 |  |
| E17 | Shale: light gray, very hard, massive, calcareous | 5 |  |
| E16 | Shale: gray, hard, massive, calcareous, gypsiferous | 5 |  |
| E15 | Shale: gray, very hard, massive, calcareous | 5 |  |


| E14 | Shale: gray, very hard, massive, calcareous, gypsiferous | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E13 | Shale: gray, hard, massive, slightly calcareous | 5 |  |
| E12 | Shale: gray, hard, massive, calcareous | 5 |  |
| E11 | Shale: gray, very hard, massive, calcareous | 5 |  |
| E10 | Shale: gray, very hard, massive, calcareous | 5 |  |
| E9 | Shale: gray, very hard, massive, calcareous | 5 |  |
| E8 | Shale: gray, moderately hard, massive, calcareous | 5 |  |
| E7 | Marl: gray, very hard, massive | 5 |  |
| E6 | Marl: gray, very hard, massive | 5 |  |
| E5 | Marl: gray, very hard, massive | 5 |  |
| E4 | Shale: gray, hard, massive, calcareous | 5 |  |
| E3 | Shale: gray, moderately hard, massive, calcareous | 5 |  |
| E2 | Shale: brownish gray, moderately hard, massive, calcareous | 5 |  |
| E1 | Shale: gray, moderately hard, massive, calcareous | 5 |  |
| Tarawan Chalk |  |  | NP7/8 |
| T/E | Chalky limestone from bottom and shale from top | 8 |  |
| T9 | Chalk: grayish white, very hard | 5 |  |
| T8 | Chalk: grayish white, very hard | 5 |  |
| T7 | Chalk: grayish white, very hard | 5 |  |
| T6 | Chalk: grayish white, very hard | 5 |  |
| T5 | Chalk: grayish white, very hard | 5 |  |
| T4 | Chalk: grayish white, very hard | 5 |  |
| T3 | Chalk: grayish white, very hard | 5 |  |
| T2 | Chalk: grayish white, very hard | 5 |  |
| T1 | Chalk: grayish white, very hard | 5 |  |

Table S2: Late Paleocene to early Eocene biozones and bioevents according to the standard biozonations of Martini (1971) (NP), Okada and Bukry (1980) (CP), Agnini et al. (2014) (CNP to CNE), and the zonal scheme of the present study. First occurrence indicated by horizontal line with downward tick; last occurrence indicated by horizontal line with upward tick. Abbreviations: BZ, base zone; CRZ, concurrent range zone; TZ, top zone.


Table S3. Carbonate/sand/mud content from samples taken near the Paleocene/Eocene boundary at Ras Gharib A, Eastern Desert, Egypt.

| Rock Unit |  | Sample | Carb. \% | Sand \% | Mud \% | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DQM | E36 | 64.7 | 0.0 | 35.3 | Limestone |
|  |  | E35 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 85.0 | Calcareous shale |
|  |  | E34 | 7.8 | 0.1 | 92.1 | Shale |
|  |  | E33 | 13.6 | 0.2 | 86.2 | Calcareous shale |
|  |  | E32 | 6.1 | 1.0 | 92.9 | Shale |
|  |  | E31 | 12.7 | 2.8 gypsum | 84.5 | Calcareous shale |
|  |  | E29/30 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 89.1 | Shale |
|  | EI Hanadi <br> Mb | E29 | 33.8 | 0.0 | 66.2 | Calcareous shale |
|  |  | E28 | 39.7 | 0.0 | 60.3 | Calcareous shale |
|  |  | E27 | 29.1 | 0.0 | 70.9 | Calcareous shale |

## FISH FAUNA FROM RAS GHARIB A

Nearly 290 fish fossils were collected during the course of three field trips in 2017, 2018, and 2019 (Table S4). Fish fossils occur throughout the basal 7 cm of the Dababiya Quarry Member (DQM). These are mostly heavily flattened, but some larger specimens show substantial three-dimensional relief (e.g., osteoglossid vertebrae shown in Fig. 3b). Not all specimens are complete, but most are partially articulated skeletons. Some are incomplete only because they were truncated by natural breaks in the rock. The phosphatic shale layers of the DQM degrades very easily upon exposure to the humid conditions, making specimens difficult to collect intact. As a consequence, many collected specimens can only be assigned to higher-level taxa. We anticipate that future collecting will permit more precise taxonomic identifications.

Actinopterygian fishes collected from the Dababiya Quarry Member at Ras Gharib A are listed below. Counts for some taxa are approximate, and only specimens figured or mentioned in this paper are assigned MUVP catalog numbers; these are indicated by underlined text. All remaining examples are listed by field numbers with the prefix "PETM."

Osteoglossomorpha: Osteoglossidae ( $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{5}$ ). PETM 18116, PETM 18122-18123, PETM 19174 (MUVP 529; Fig. 3a), PETM 19175 (MUVP 546; Fig. 3b).

Clupeomorpha: Clupeoidei ( $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{3}$ ). PETM 18126, PETM 19176 (MUVP 524c), PETM 19183.
Stomiiformes: Sternoptychidae ( $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{1}$ ). PETM 19177 (MUVP 523d).
Aulopiformes: Paralepididae ( $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{1}$ ). PETM 19178 (MUVP 548e).
Carangaria: Menidae: Mene $(\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{6 5})$. PETM 1802, PETM 1804, PETM 1810, PETM 1816, PETM 1821, PETM 1827, PETM 1831, PETM 1842, PETM 1847, PETM 1848, PETM 1881, PETM 1895-1895, PETM 18100, PETM 18114, PETM 18120, PETM 18124, PETM 18128, PETM 1833, PETM 1958, PETM 1964-1965, PETM 1979-1999, PETM 19100-19116, PETM 19142-19143, PETM 19150, PETM 19172 (MUVP 556g).

Pelagiaria: Trichiuroidei $(\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{1})$. PETM 19173 (MUVP 537; Fig. 3f).
Pelagiaria: Scombridae $(\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{5})$. PETM 18121, PETM 1909, PETM 1957, PETM 1972, PETM 19174 (MUVP 528; Fig. 3h).

Percomorpha indet. $(\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{7 3})$ : PETM 1801-1807, PETM 1814, PETM 1822, PETM 1826, PETM 1828, PETM 1833-1844, PETM 1839, PETM 1850-1851, PETM 1853, PETM 1856-1857, PETM 1860, PETM 1862, PETM 1865, PETM 1869, PETM 1871-1873, PETM 1877-1878, PETM 1880, PETM 1888-1891, PETM 1896-1897, PETM 18102, PETM 18108, PETM 18188, PETM 18125, PETM 18127, PETM 18132, PETM 1901, PETM 1908, PETM 1910, PETM 1912, PETM 1914,

PETM 1920, PETM 1923-1924, PETM 1938, PETM 1939, PETM 1941, PETM 1951, PETM 1954, PETM 1956, PETM 1961, PETM 1963, PETM 1966, PETM 1978, PETM 19137-19139, PETM 19144, PETM 19146, PETM 19157, PETM 19179 (MUVP 526; Fig. 3i), PETM 19180 (MUVP 552; Fig. 3m), PETM 19181 (MUVP 527; Fig. 3n), PETM 19182 (MUVP 525; Fig. 3o), PETM 19183 (MUVP 519; Fig. 3j), PETM 19184 (MUVP 530; Fig. 31).

Coprolites ( $n=13$; does not include coprolites on blocks containing other material): PETM 1808-1809, PETM 1849, PETM 1868, PETM 1885, PETM 1892, PETM 18130, PETM 1942, PETM 1977, PETM 19163-19166.

Indeterminate fish scales ( $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{2 4}$; does not include isolated scales on blocks containing other material): PETM 1813, PETM 1815, PETM 1817, PETM 1819, PETM 1832, PETM 1836, PETM 1840, PETM 1844-1846, PETM 1854, PETM 1864, PETM 1882, PETM 1887, PETM 18109, PETM 1904, PETM 1916, PETM 1962, PETM 1968-1969, PETM 19167-19170.

Indeterminate skeletal fragments ( $n=101$; does not include skeletal fragments on blocks containing other material): PETM 1811-1812, PETM 1818, PETM 1820, PETM 1823-1825, PETM 1829-1830, PETM 1835, PETM 1837-1838, PETM 1841, PETM 1843, PETM 1852, PETM 1855, PETM 1858-1859, PETM 1861, PETM 1863, PETM 1866-1877, PETM 1870, PETM 1874-1876, PETM 1879, PETM 1883-1884, PETM 1893, PETM 1898-1899, PETM 18101, PETM 18103-18107, PETM 18111-18113, PETM 18115, PETM 18119, PETM 18131, PETM 1902-1903, PETM 1906, PETM 1911, PETM 1915, PETM 1928, PETM 1930, PETM 1932, PETM 1937, PETM 1944-1945, PETM 1950, PETM 1955, PTEM 1959-1960, PETM 1967, PETM 1970-1971, PETM 1973-1976, PETM 19118-19136, PETM 19140-19141, PETM 19145, PETM 19147-19149, PETM 19151-19156, PETM 19158-19159, PETM 19171.


Figure S3. Undetermined percomorph, MUVP 530 (shown in Fig. 3L). Scale equals 5 mm.


Figure S4. Undetermined percomorph, MUVP 552 (shown in Fig. 3M). Scale equals 10 mm.


Figure S5. Undetermined percomorph, MUVP 527 (shown in Fig. 3N). Scale equals 10 mm .


Figure S6. Undetermined percomorph, MUVP 525 (shown in Fig. 30). Scale equals 5 mm.


Figure S7. Ordination (metric multidimensional scaling) of pairwise distances of latest Cretaceous-Eocene marine actinopterygian faunas. Similarities measured using Forbes index for presence/absence of families (or more inclusive groups). Ras Gharib A assemblage is indicated with red bull's-eye, and clusters with other faunas from the PETM and Eocene more generally, regardless of paleolatitude. Application of the average silhouette width criterion indicates two clusters: one corresponding to all Eocene (including PETM) faunas and some Paleocene faunas, and another including Late Cretaceous faunas plus the Danian assemblage from the Copenhagen Limestone Formation at Limhamn, Sweden. Numbers in parentheses represent percentage of total variation summarized.
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