

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA CAPTIONS

Supplemental Figure 1 – Measured stratigraphic sections in the donga adjacent to the unpaved road that served as travel over Old Wapadsberg Pass (base at S 31° 55.199', E 24° 53.666') and New Wapadsberg Pass along the R61 highway (base at S 31° 55.928', E 24° 52.876') on which siderite-nodule samples were collected for stable-isotope geochemistry. See Prevec et al. (2010) for description of lithofacies.

Supplemental Table 1 – Latest Permian paleosols characterized by Retallack et al. (2003) for the pre-extinction PTB as defined by vertebrate biostratigraphy (Ward et al., 2005). Paleosol features are a compilation of data presented in the text (cross hatched cells), table 1 (black cells), illustrations (gray cells), and GSA Data Repository 2003122 (vertical lined cells). New Wapadsberg Pass Protosols are provided for comparative purposes.

Supplemental Table 2 – Calculated values of Base Loss ((Na, Ca, K, Mg)/Ti), Clayeyness (Al/Si), Mineral Assemblage Stability (Fe/K), Calcification ((Ca+Mg)/Al), Salinization ((K+Na)/Al), and Mineralogical Maturity (Si/Al; Sheldon and Tabor, 2009) for the NWP paleosol profile based on XRF weight percentage values converted to molar abundances.

Supplemental Table 3– CIA-K values calculated from XRF wt% data converted to molar abundance for the present study, compared with the average calculated values for the Elandsberg and Palingkloof members based on data reported by Coney et al. (2007) for their Wapadsberg Pass section. These values assume that the uppermost Elandsberg and lowermost Palingkloof member lithologies are (Zam and Kuta) paleosols as reported by Retallack et al. (2003) for the boundary interval. Bada paleosol, weight-percentage data from GSA data repository 2003122 of Retallack et al. (2003) are presented as soil profile averages for comparison with those reported by Coney et al. (2007) and this contribution. Molecular ratio calculations derived from an Excel

spreadsheet template provided by N. Tabor (Southern Methodist University).

Supplemental Table 4 – Trace element data obtained using ICP-OES in ppm for New Wapadsberg Pass paleosol samples, compared with values reported by Coney et al (2007) from across the vertebrate-defined PTB.

Supplemental Table 5 – Trace element data obtained using XRF in ppm for New Wapadsberg Pass paleosol samples, compared with values reported by Coney et al (2007) from across the vertebrate-defined PTB.

	Bada	Du	Hom	Pawa	Som	Zam	NWP
GRAIN SIZE							
Clayey Siltstone				■		■■■■	
Siltstone	■■■■	■■■■		■■■■■■■■	■■■■■■■■	■■■■■■■■	■■■■■■■■
Sandy Siltstone	■■■■				■■■■	■■■■■■■■	■■■■■■■■
V.F. Sandstone							
F. Sandstone	■■■■■■■■		■■■■■■■■	■■■■■■■■	■■■■■■■■		
Med Sandstone	■■■■■■■■		■■■■■■■■		■■■■■■■■		
Sandstone	■■■■	■■■■■■■■		■■■■■■■■	■■■■■■■■		
COLOR							
White			■■■■				
Light Gray	■■■■		■■■■				
Green Gray	■■■■	■■■■■■■■	■■■■■■■■	■■■■■■■■	■■■■■■■■	■■■■■■■■	■■■■■■■■
Olive Gray							
(Light) Blue Gray	■■■■	■■■■		■■■■	■■■■	■■■■	
Gray Purple					■■■■■■■■		
Dark Red Gray					■■■■■■■■		
NODULES							
Nodules <10	■■■■		■■■■		■■■■		■■■■■■■■
Nodules >10							
SED							
STRUCTURES							
Relict Beds		■■■■		■■■■	■■■■	■■■■	■■■■■■■■
PRECIPITATES							
Calcareous	■■■■■■■■	■■■■■■■■	■■■■■■■■		■■■■■■■■		
Evaporites	■■■■■■■■		■■■■■■■■				
BIOLOGICAL							
Rhizoconcretions	■■■■		■■■■				■■■■■■■■
Roots	■■■■	■■■■	■■■■	■■■■	■■■■	■■■■	■■■■■■■■
Burrows				■■■■	■■■■	■■■■	
Text							
Table 1	■■■■						
Figures 5,6	■■■■						
Data Repository	■■■■■■■■						

Supplemental Table 1 – Latest Permian paleosols characterized by Retallack et al. (2003) for the pre- and post-extinction boundary, as defined by vertebrate biostratigraphy (Ward et al., 2005). A complete understanding of the features displayed by each paleosol only can be obtained through a compilation from their text (cross hatched cells), table 1 (black cells), illustrations (gray cells), and GSA Data Repository 2003122 (vertical lined cells). New Wapadsberg Pass paleosol characters are provided for comparative purposes.

	Na/Ti	K/Ti	Ca/Ti	Mg/Ti	(Ca+Mg) / Al	(K+Na)/Al	Al / Si	Si / Al	Fe / K
NWP Claystone	1.19	3.90	1.52	3.59	0.31	0.31	0.18	5.69	0.76
NWP -2 cm	1.31	3.80	1.19	4.29	0.33	0.31	0.17	5.90	0.80
NWP -4 cm	1.88	3.48	1.48	4.39	0.37	0.34	0.15	6.48	0.96
NWP -6 cm	1.69	3.63	1.51	4.59	0.38	0.33	0.16	6.44	1.03
NWP -8 cm	1.68	3.77	1.45	4.80	0.38	0.33	0.17	5.97	0.97
NWP -10 cm	3.17	3.79	2.69	3.37	0.37	0.42	0.14	7.40	0.79
NWP -15 cm	2.74	3.99	3.19	3.65	0.42	0.41	0.14	6.95	0.66
NWP -20 cm	2.37	3.87	2.96	3.55	0.42	0.40	0.15	6.75	0.63
NWP -25 cm	1.19	3.90	1.52	3.59	0.31	0.31	0.18	5.69	0.76
NWP -30 cm	2.42	3.97	3.36	3.77	0.46	0.41	0.15	6.73	0.68
NWP -40 cm	2.47	3.88	2.88	3.82	0.43	0.41	0.15	6.76	0.74
NWP -50 cm	2.12	3.90	2.31	3.93	0.40	0.39	0.15	6.53	0.70
NWP -60 cm	1.20	4.23	1.53	4.65	0.39	0.34	0.16	6.26	0.83
average	1.96	3.85	2.12	4.00	0.38	0.36	0.16	6.43	0.79

Supplemental Table 2 – Calculated values of Base Loss ((Na, Ca, K, Mg)/Ti), Clayeyness (Al/Si), Mineral Assemblage Stability (Fe/K), Calcification ((Ca+Mg)/Al), Salinization ((K+Na)/Al), and Mineralogical Maturity (Si/Al; Sheldon and Tabor, 2009) for the NWP paleosol profile based on XRF weight percentage values converted to molar abundances.

Sample	Wt %				Molar				Molar Ratio	
	Na ₂ O	Al ₂ O ₃	K ₂ O	CaO	Na	AL	K	Ca	Al/(Al+Ca+Na+K)	CIA-K
Claystone	0.86	19.62	4.30	1.00	0.01	0.19	0.05	0.02	71.34	85.87
-2 cm	0.92	19.17	4.06	0.76	0.01	0.19	0.04	0.01	72.46	86.88
-4 cm	1.28	17.72	3.62	0.91	0.02	0.17	0.04	0.02	69.74	82.44
-6 cm	1.13	17.68	3.68	0.92	0.02	0.17	0.04	0.02	70.20	83.38
-8 cm	1.16	18.66	3.96	0.91	0.02	0.18	0.04	0.02	70.40	83.99
-10 cm	1.89	16.08	3.44	1.45	0.03	0.16	0.04	0.03	62.92	73.65
-15 cm	1.70	16.84	3.77	1.79	0.03	0.17	0.04	0.03	62.41	73.54
-20 cm	1.58	17.20	3.93	1.79	0.03	0.17	0.04	0.03	63.00	74.61
-25 cm	0.86	19.62	4.30	1.00	0.01	0.19	0.05	0.02	71.34	85.87
-30 cm	1.60	17.02	3.99	2.01	0.03	0.17	0.04	0.04	61.60	73.03
-40 cm	1.65	16.99	3.94	1.75	0.03	0.17	0.04	0.03	62.58	74.26
-50 cm	1.47	17.56	4.11	1.45	0.02	0.17	0.04	0.03	64.90	77.66
-60 cm	0.83	17.97	4.45	0.96	0.01	0.18	0.05	0.02	69.40	85.26
Avg. Palingkloof Mbr.	1.94	15.09	3.15	1.82	0.03	0.15	0.03	0.03	60.4	69.9
Avg. Elandsberg Mbr.	1.59	14.94	3.16	4.08	0.03	0.15	0.03	0.07	52.6	59.8
Avg. Elandsberg Mbr. Bada paleosol	2.48	14.65	3.30	2.25	0.04	0.14	0.03	0.05	55.5	64.2

Supplemental Table 3- CIA-K values calculated from XRF wt% data converted to molar abundance for the present study, compared with the average calculated values for the Elandsberg and Palingkloof members based on data reported by Coney et al. (2007) for their Wapadsberg Pass section. These values assume that the uppermost Elandsberg and lowermost Palingkloof member lithologies are (Zam and Kuta) paleosols as reported by Retallack et al. (2003) for the boundary interval. Bada paleosol, weight-percentage data from GSA data repository 2003122 of Retallack et al. (2003) are presented as soil profile averages for comparison with those reported by Coney et al. (2007) and this contribution. Molecular ratio calculations derived from an Excel spreadsheet template provided by N. Tabor (Southern Methodist University).

Supplemental Table 4 – Trace element data obtained using ICP-OES in ppm for New Wapadsberg Pass paleosol samples, compared with values reported by Coney et al (2007) from across the vertebrate-defined PTB.

Sample	Ba (PPM)	Cr (PPM)	Zn (PPM)	Sr (PPM)	Y (PPM)	Zr (PPM)	Rb (PPM)	Nb (PPM)
NWP ASH	1015	85	45	431	30	205	201	22
NWP-2	805	76	61	100	22	131	192	17
NWP-4	777	73	65	119	23	128	174	18
NWP-6	758	68	81	116	24	120	173	18
NWP-8	798	84	139	112	24	125	184	20
NWP-10	936	44	96	253	27	275	145	18
NWP-15	816	46	64	218	36	245	163	21
NWP-20	862	50	60	203	36	234	180	23
NWP-25	833	55	72	202	42	221	174	25
NWP-30	827	53	126	206	39	231	180	23
NWP-40	778	58	159	193	35	213	177	24
NWP-50	706	56	123	174	33	225	187	23
NWP-60	772	82	115	101	31	156	218	22
AVERAGE	822	64	93	187	31	193	181	21
Coney et al. (2007); Avg. Elandsberg Fm	543	46	84	231	31	160	146	17
Coney et al. (2007); Avg. Palingkloof Fm	824	44	86	347	34	187	143	18

Supplemental Table 5 – Trace element data obtained using XRF in ppm for New Wapadsberg Pass paleosol samples, compared with values reported by Coney et al (2007) from across the vertebrate-defined PTB.

