GSA DATA REPOSITORY 2011340

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

METHODS

The volume of beach sediment released during sea cliff erosion and retreat, determined by cliff height and composition, is scaled to a beach width by the beach geometry constant, γ . For simplicity and tractability in the analytical model, we assume that the beach wedge at the base of the cliff is triangular with a constant height. So, for example, if the volume of sediment eroded from the sea cliff through time is determined by the product of effective cliff height (*HC*), cell size, and cliff retreat distance, that sediment volume must be deposited cumulatively at the base of the cliff while maintaining the shape of a triangle with a time-varying beach width, *w* (Figure 2, main text). Therefore, in our case, the cumulative sediment released from cliff retreat must be multiplied by a factor of two (because the volume of the triangular beach wedge is onehalf the product of beach height, width and cell size) to determine the beach width. For different beach geometries the constant γ must be adjusted accordingly.

INITIAL COMPARISONS OF MODEL RESULTS TO NATURE

The comparisons for the Santa Cruz and Oceanside study sites (Figure 1) are detailed in Limber and Murray (2011), and the same methods were used for the San Francisco site discussed in the main text. Methods are summarized only briefly here. A more detailed map of the San Francisco site is shown in Figure 1, and maps of the Oceanside and Santa Cruz sites can be found in Limber and Murray (2011). The rockiness variable values (see Equation 5, main text) and coastline lengths for all three study sites are listed in Table 1, and we assume the timeaveraged height of the beach is on the order of 2 m. Uncertainty estimates for analytically predicted rockiness are based on the measurement ranges for the variables listed in Table 1. An uncertainty estimate of ± 0.10 was assigned to rockiness measured from aerial photography to reflect user, source, and interpretation error. The sediment loss rate, S, is a difficult parameter to assign a value to, as there are few available observations of gross coastline sediment loss. Observations of shoreline erosion (or accretion) rates are typically net rates (i.e. balance of sediment gains and losses), rather than gross rates (i.e., losses only). As a proxy, we used longterm net shoreline change measurements (Hapke et al., 2006), acknowledging that the actual rate of S is likely larger than those observations. For Oceanside and San Francisco, it was not necessary to approximate S because the values of HC were large enough such that S needed to be unrealistically fast (1.2 - 4.8 m/yr and >9.3 m/yr, respectively) to bring the predicted value of rockiness above zero and change the predicted coastline configuration. In addition, the average net shoreline change rates for Oceanside were positive (accretionary; Hapke et al., 2006), suggesting that the magnitude of S may be relatively small.

FIGURE CAPTION

Figure DR1. Location map for California study sites. Inset shows detail of the San Francisco study site.

REFERENCES CITED

- Best, T. C., and Griggs, G.B., 1991, A sediment budget for the Santa Cruz littoral cell: In: From Shoreline to the Abyss, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, Special Issue No. 46, p. 35-50.
- Hapke, C., Reid, D., Richmond, B.M., Ruggiero, P., and List, J., 2006, National assessment of shoreline change, Part 3: Historical shoreline change and associated coastal land loss along sandy shorelines of the California coast: U.S. Geological Survey Open-file Report, 2006-1219.
- Hapke, C., and Reid, D., 2007, National assessment of shoreline change, Part 4: Historical coastal cliff retreat along the California coast: U.S. Geological Survey Open-file Report, 2007–1133, 51 p.
- Limber, P.W., Patsch, K.B., and Griggs, G.B., 2008, Coastal sediment budgets and the littoral cut-off diameter: a grain-size threshold for quantifying active sediment inputs: Journal of Coastal Research, (Issue 2, supplement) p. 122–133, doi:10.2112/06-0675.1.
- Perg, L. A., Anderson, R.S., Finkel, R.C., 2003, Use of cosmogenic radionuclides as a sediment tracer in the Santa Cruz littoral cell, California, Unites States: Geology, v. 31, p. 299-302.
- Young, A.P., Raymond, J.H., Sorenson, J., Johnstone, E.A., Driscoll, N.W., Flick, R.E., and Guza, R.T., 2010, Coarse sediment yields from seacliff erosion in the Oceanside littoral cell: Journal of Coastal Research, v. 26, p. 580-585.



TABLE DR1. ESTIMATES OF ROCKINESS VARIABLES FOR SANTA CRUZ,
OCEANSIDE, AND SAN FRANCISCO STUDY SITES

Variable	Santa Cruz	Oceanside	San Francisco	References
$S (m yr^{-1})$	0.3 - 1.0	N.A.	N.A.	*
$E_0 (m yr^{-1})$	0.08 - 0.14	0.05 - 0.20	0.3 - 1.0	†, §, #
Н	8.5	15.5	>20	§, #, **, ††
С	0.1	0.8	0.8	§, #, **, ††
Length (km)	~20 km	~70 km	~11 km	

*Hapke et al., 2006 [†]Hapke and Reid, 2007 [§]Young et al., 2010 [#]Limber et al., 2008 **Perg et al., 2003 ^{††}Best and Griggs, 1991