#### GSA DATA REPOSITORY 2010235

# 286 Supplementary file: Detailed methodology

#### 287 *Experiments*

Fluorite synthesis experiments were performed in a 40ml PFA reaction vessel at 60°C, with 288 289 temperature controlled by an externally heated waterbath and monitored by a teflon-sleeved 290 type-K thermocouple extended into the soluti on (Fig DR1). The vessel conta ined 30ml of a 291 starting solution consisting of 2.5 wt% CaCl<sub>2</sub>, Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> or CaSO<sub>4</sub> depending on the desired 292 dominating ligand, and 42 trace elements added at levels between 0.25 and 20 ppn(TableDR1). 293 The solution was prepared from >99.9% pure, single element chlorides, sulfates and nitrates 294 dissolved in nano-pure water. When hydrated chemicals were used, the mass fraction water 295 was determined by Thermo-Gravitational Analysis (100mg of sample heated at 20Kmin<sup>-1</sup> up 296 to 350 °C followed by 1m in isothermal in a guartz-glass holder using a DuPont TGA951, 297 resulting in a better than 5% reproducibility) and concentrations were adjusted accordingly. 298 Dissociation reactions, mainly involving TiCl<sub>4</sub>, ZrOCl<sub>2</sub>, HfOCl<sub>2</sub>, SbCl<sub>3</sub> and BiCl<sub>3</sub>, resulted in 299 the formation of a minor precipitate in the starting solution that was subsequently removed by 300 filtration, as well as a pH between 2.0 and 2.9. These compounds were not included in NO<sub>3</sub> 301 experiments to minimize Cl-content, and trace element grade HNO, was added to generate the 302 same pH. The solution was stirred vigorously by a teflon-coated magnetic stirrer. At the run 303 temperature, 4ml of 1M CsF-solution was injected into the starting solution, causing fluorite 304 precipitation. The amount of F added represented a deficit relative to Ca to avoid precipitation 305 of other phases and a solid toluid ratio of approximately 500. Experiments were subsequently 306 left for two hours at the run temperature, followed by recovery of fluorite and the reacted 307 solution by filtration through a  $0.45 \mu m$  filter. Cl a nd SO<sub>4</sub>-solutions were clear after one 308 filtration, whereas NO<sub>3</sub>-solutions required multiple filtration steps. Solid material was rinsed

309 repeatedly after filtration with nano-pure water.

### 310 *Characterization of run products*

311 An aliquot of solid run product was characterized by XRD at the Ceramics Research Centre, 312 Corus RD&T (the Netherlands) using a Bruker D4instrument. This showed that it consists of 313 well-developed crystalline m ono-mineralic fluorite and can therefore be compositionally 314 characterized by a bulk technique. REE concentrations are high in the synthesized fluorite, but 315 do not reflect co-precipitation of REE-fluorides, because the characteristic peaks of these 316 fluorides are absent in the XRD spectra (Fig 2) Furthermore, REE concentrations in the final 317 solution do not increase with atomic mass and are therefore inconsistent with the solubilities 318 of the REE-fluorides (cf. Migdisov et al. 2009). The remaining material was digested using a 319 closed-vessel hot  $HNO_3$ -H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> method (step 1: 50 mg fluorite + 0.25 ml concentrated  $HNO_3$ ) 320 + 1.5 ml of 2850 ppm H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>-solution in a teflon container at 90 °C for 10 minutes; step 2: 321 cooled and left to stand for 24 hoursstep 3: 1.5ml of B-solution + 1.5ml 2% HNO<sub>3</sub> added and 322 heated to 90 °C for 4 hours; step 4: taken up in 2% HNO <sub>3</sub> to 10ml). The dige sted fluorite, 323 starting solutions and reacted solutions wereanalyzed by ICP-AES at Activation Laboratories 324 (Ancaster, Canada) for m ajor el ements a nd by ICP-MS at the Trace Elem ent Analysis 325 Laboratory of McGill University for trace elements (detection limits of the analyses are given 326 in Table DR2). Partition coefficients were calculated from measured concentrations in fluorite-327 solution pairs, supplemented with concentrations determined by mass-balance from the starting 328 solution composition where the concentration of an element in one of the end-products could 329 not be determ ined The mass-balance method was also used as a check on the m easured 330 concentrations. Partition coefficients show large variations imagnitude (TableDR1), as a result 331 of the low temperature of our experiments. This led to analytical challenges as the range of

332 concentrations exceeds the dynamic range of the ICP-MS. We therefore analyzed all samples 333 at a range of dilutions, providing us with multiple measurements for each elem ent. This 334 approach also provides a means to check forenhancement and suppression matrix effects (none 335 were observed). Experiments involving Cl were conducted in double duplicate and reported 336 partition values are the average of these duplicates with associated 1 sigm a experimental + 337 analytical uncert ainty. For NO <sub>3</sub> and SO <sub>4</sub> experiments the propagated 2 sigm a analytical 338 uncertainty is reported.

## 339 Attainment of equilibrium

340 A key concern for low temperature precipitation experiments is whether the results represent 341 equilibrium. We have several reasons to conclude that the partitioning we observe does refect 342 equilibrium. Firstly, partition coefficients are highly reproducible as indicated by the four Cl-343 bearing experiments. Furthermore, despite variations in the actual partition coefficients among 344 these experiments, the systematic differences among coefficients are identical and consistent 345 with lattice-strain control. Secondly, t he observation of lattice-strain system atics in our 346 partitioning data is in itself strong evidence of equilibrium, as no such correspondence would 347 be observed if disequilibrium or other processes including absorption control trace element 348 concentrations. This is especially true for the diverse set of elements (in terms of radius and 349 charge) considered in our experim ents and for the very large range of D-values obtained. 350 Thirdly, Ca concentrations in the fluid (10 tol 8 ppm) are consistent with those expected from 351 fluorite solubility data (19.5 ppm- Richardson and Holland 1979; 13 ppm- Garand and Mucci

352 2004), indicating that the fluorite is in major-element equilibrium with its solution.

## 353 Data fitting and speciation calculations

354 Radii for the elements were taken from Shannon (1976) for a coordination number of 8, which is the coordination of the single cation site in the fluorite structure. For elements for which 8-355 coordinated radii were not listed, their radii were estim ated using the systematic variations 356 357 among radius, coordination number and charge that were also employed by Shannon (1976). 358 All radii are given in Table DR1. Partition coe fficients were f itted using the lattice-strain 359 equations of Blundy and Wood (1994) and fit pa rameters for the different experim ents are listed in Table DR3. The speciation at 60 °C, 1bar and the composition of the experim ental 360 361 solution was determined from thermodynamic calculations. Fluorite was the only solid phase 362 considered in the calculations. Thermodynamic properties for the aqueous species were taken 363 from the SupCt database (http://geopig.asu.edu/supcrt92 data/slop98.dat) supplemented with data for the REE (Migdisov et al. 2009) a nd Al (Tagirov and Sc hott 2001). Properties for 364 365 neutral F-species were estim ated using the approach of Shock and Helgeson (1988) and 366 Sverjensky et al. (1997). Speciation-corrected partition coefficients were calculated by dividing bulk coefficients by the fraction of each element residing in the species of interest. 367 Because thermodynamic data on aqueous species are limited, we had to combine all species 368 with a given ligand (see Table DR4). 369

#### 370 *References*

371 Blundy, J. and Wood, B., 1994, Prediction of crystal-melt partition coefficients from elastic

372 moduli: Nature v. 372, p. 452-454.

Garand, A. and Mucci, A., 2004, The solubility of fluorite as a function of ionic strength and
solution composition at 25°C and 1 atm total pressure: Marine Chemistry, v. 91, p. 27–35.

375	Migdisov, A.A., Williams-Jones, A.E. and Wagner, T., 2009, An experimental study of the
376	solubility and speciation of the Rare Earth Elem ents (III) in fluoride- and chloride-bearing
377	aqueous solutions at temperatures up to 300°C: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 73, p.
378	7087-7109.

- 379 Richardson, C.K. and Holland, H.D., 1979, The solubility of fluorite in hydrothermal solutions,
- an experimental study: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 43, p. 1313–1325.
- 381 Shannon, R.D., 1976, Revised effec tive ionic radii and system atic studies of interatom ic
- distances in halides and chalcogenides: Acta Crystallographica, v. A32, p. 751-767.
- 383 Shock, E.L. and He Igeson, H.C., 1988, Calculation of the therm odynamic and transport
- 384 properties of aqueous species at high pressures and temperatures: Correlation algorithms for
- ionic spe cies and equation of st ate predictions to 5 kb and 1000 °C: Geochim ica et
- 386 Cosmochimica Acta, v. 52, p. 2009-2036.
- Sverjensky, D.A., Shock, E.L. and Helgeson, H.C., 1997, Prediction of t he thermodynamic
  properties of aqueous metal complexes to 1000°C and 5 kb: Geochim ica et Cosmochimica
  Acta, v. 61, p. 1359-1412.
- Tagirov, B. and Schott, J., 2001, Aluminum speciation in crustal fluids revisited: Geochimica
  et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 65, p. 3965-3992.
- 392 *Figure and table captions*

393 Figure DR1

Schematic drawing of the experimental setup. Experiments were conducted by synthesizing fluorite in an aqueous solution doped with 42 trace elements covering a wide range of radius and charge. Effects of speciation were inves tigated by varying the dom inant ligand of the starting solution am ong Cl, NO<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>4</sub>. Experiments were conducted in a stirred teflon reaction vessel within a thermostated waterbath at 60 °C. Fluorite precipitation was brought about by injecting a 1M CsF-solution at run temperature.

400 Table DR1

401 Compositions of starting solutions of the various experiments (SSL) and the logarithm of

402 resulting partition coef ficients between fluorite and aqueous solution. The charge of the

403 elements and their radius in 8-coordination are also given.

404 Table DR2

405 Analytical detection limits.

406 Table DR3

407 Lattice-strain fit parameters of the experimental partition coefficients.

408 Table DR4

409 Fraction of the elements residing as free ion(M), O or OH speciated(MOH), F-speciated (MF)

410 and Cl-speciated (MCl) as determ ined from therm odynamic modeling for the average

411 composition of final solutions of the FLU-4 series of experiments. Lack of data prevented us

412 from determining fractions for all elements.

Н																	He
Li	Be											В	С	Ν	0	F	Ne
Na	Mg											Al	Si	Ρ	S	Cl	Ar
К	Ca	Sc	Ti	V	Cr	Mn	Fe	60	Ni	Cu	Zn	Ga	Ge	As	Se	Br	Kr
Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Мо	Tc	Ru	Rh	Pd	Ag	Cd	In	Sn	Sb	Te	Ι	Xe
Cs	Ba	La	Hf	Та	W	Re	Os	lr	Pt	Au	Hg	ΤI	Pb	Bi	Ро	At	Rn
Fr	Ra	Ac															
		La	Ce	Pr	Nd	Pm	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Ho	E	Tm	Yb	Lu	
		Ac	Th	Pa	U	Np	Pu	Am	Cm	Bk	Cf	Es	Fm	Md	No	Lr	
																diuc	
1+	20	. 72	<del>7</del> 5		3+	0.25	4-	+ 5			liga	and e	leme	nts	ſċ		2
	hhu		∠⊿ pr	ווע		hhu		ШPF	וווכ		and	a maj	or ca	tions		I F	4



Figure DR1

Table DR1. Compositions of starting solutions of the various experiments (SSL) and the logarithm of resulting partition coefficients between fluorite and aqueous solu	ution.
The charge of the elements and their radius in 8-coordination are also given.	

Experiment			FLU - 4				FLU - 6			FLU - 8		elemen	t props
Ligand			Cl				NO <sub>3</sub>			$SO_4$			
Temperature			60 °C				60 °C			60 °C			
Pressure			1 bar				1 bar			1 bar			
Element	SSL-4	FLU-4A	1 stdev	FLU-4B	1 stdev	SSL-6	FLU-6.1	2 stdev	SSL-8	FLU-8.2	2 stdev	radius	charge
	ррт	log D	- +	log D	- +	ррт	log D	- +	ppm	log D	- +	Á	
Li	20.47	1.34	0.10 0.08	1.40	0.19 0.13	21.65	1.42	0.15 0.11	14.82	0.95	0.08 0.07	0.920	1 +
Na	17.77	2.01	0.08 0.07	2.15	0.92 0.27	17.91	1.82	0.05 0.04	21.00	2.19	0.14 0.11	1.180	1 +
K	19.04	1.14	0.22 0.15	0.84	0.39 0.20	19.65	0.59	0.05 0.04	42.80	0.39	0.14 0.11	1.510	1 +
Rb	20.65	-0.27	0.08 0.07	-0.66	0.42 0.21	21.12	-1.30	0.07 0.06	15.30	0.05	0.26 0.16	1.610	1 +
Mg	5.19	2.68	0.20 0.14	2.69	1.12 0.28	5.51	2.22	0.20 0.14	7.05	2.01	0.02 0.02	0.890	2 +
Sr	5.07	3.70	0.22 0.14	4.14	- 0.33	6.49	2.56	0.11 0.09	3.64	2.16	0.01 0.01	1.260	2 +
Pb	4.72	3.44	0.32 0.18	4.58	0.01 0.01	5.21	2.88	- 0.33	3.92	1.96	0.02 0.02	1.290	2 +
Co	4.91	1.08	0.68 0.25	1.37	- 0.36	4.93	1.09	0.22 0.15	3.71	0.80	0.01 0.01	0.900	2 +
Ni	4.70	0.24	0.15 0.11	0.38	0.10 0.08	5.30	0.24	0.26 0.16	3.67	0.62	0.02 0.02	0.855	2 +
Cu	5.46	1.45	0.10 0.08	1.77	0.07 0.06	5.03	1.48	0.23 0.15	3.06	1.17	0.04 0.04	0.889	2 +
Zn	6.24	2.02	0.38 0.20	2.16	- 0.35	5.82	2.00	0.15 0.11	3.65	1.28	0.03 0.03	0.900	2 +
Mn	5.14	2.39	0.23 0.15	2.58	- 0.31	5.13	2.27	0.07 0.06	3.68	1.77	0.02 0.02	0.960	2 +
Fe	4 90	3.05	0.06 0.06	2.99	- 0.36	4 4 5	1.88	0.05 0.04	10.15	1.87	0.02 0.02	0.920	2 +
Sn	1.16	2.83	0.17 0.12	3.34	1.27 0.29	3.17	1.73	0.11 0.09	0.01	< d.l.f.		1.263	2 +
Al	4.64	< d.l.f.		2.57	1.18 0.29	4.36	4.87	0.05 0.04	10.34	2.51	0.03 0.02	0.649	3 +
Ga	14.31	4.06	0.20 0.13	4.53	0.42 0.21	18.78	3.64	- 0.79	11.26	1.94	0.01 0.01	0.736	3 +
Sc	4.88	5.41	0.35 0.19	5.69	0.12 0.09	n.p.	n.p.		5.08		0.02 0.02	0.870	3 +
Sb	0.06	1.97	0.33 0.18	2.74	- 0.32	n.p.	n.p.		0.01	0.95	0.06 0.05	0.879	3 +
Bi	0.05	3.88	0.01 0.01	4.42	- 0.35	n.p.	n.p.		6.29	2.14	0.02 0.02	1.170	3 +
Cr	5.36	1.60	0.52 0.23	1.70	- 0.37	5.15	0.21	- 1.87	4.50	0.40	0.01 0.01	0.731	3 +
Y	0.33	< d l f		5.52	0.46 0.22	0.29	5.33	0.03 0.03	0.43	5.24	0.55 0.24	1.019	3 +
La	0.25	5.61	1.29 0.29	5.46	0.66 0.25	0.26	5.70	0.92 0.27	0.45	4.10	0.02 0.02	1.160	3 +
Ce	0.28	5.14	0.59 0.24	5.08	0.12 0.10	0.27	5.18	0.20 0.13	0.47	5.24	0.11 0.09	1.143	3 +
Nd	0.27	5.20	047 022	5.52	0.83 0.27	0.28	< d l f		0.46	5.00	0.16 0.12	1.109	3 +
Sm	0.26	6.12	2.58 0.30	5.93	- 0.34	0.28	< d l f		0.43	5.06	0.25 0.16	1.079	3 +
En	0.25	5 76	0.07 0.06	5 48	0.90 0.27	0.28	4 81	0.23 0.15	0.47	4 96	0.17 0.12	1.066	3+
Gd	0.25	5 70	0.49 0.23	5 49	0.67 0.25	0.28	5.91	0.25 0.16	0.36	5.21	0.31 0.18	1.053	3 +
Th	0.26	6.23	0.47 0.22	5.97	- 0.34	0.28	< d   f	0.25 0.10	0.46	5.19	0.34 0.19	1 040	3 +
Dv	0.27	< d l f	0.17 0.22	5 30	0.80 0.27	0.28	< d   f		0.46	5.17	0.28 0.17	1.027	3 +
Но	0.26	5.73	0.21 0.14	5.52	0.78 0.26	0.28	5.63	0.16 0.12	0.41	5.25	0.32 0.18	1.015	3+
Er	0.26	<d1f< td=""><td></td><td>5 48</td><td>0.66 0.25</td><td>0.28</td><td>&lt; d   f</td><td></td><td>0.47</td><td>5.18</td><td>0.51 0.23</td><td>1 004</td><td>3+</td></d1f<>		5 48	0.66 0.25	0.28	< d   f		0.47	5.18	0.51 0.23	1 004	3+
Yh	0.27	5.91	0.53 0.23	5.64	1 37 0 29	0.20	6.11	0.25 0.16	0.44	5 28	0.54 0.23	0.985	3 +
Lu	0.27	< d.l.f.		5.23	0.83 0.27	0.27	< d.l.f.		0.47	5.31	0.50 0.23	0.977	3 +
T:	4.22	2.14		2.01					2.15	< 11.6		0.740	4 1
11	4.22	5.14	0.07 0.06	5.81	0.18 0.13	n.p.	n.p.		5.15	< 0.1.1.		0.740	4+
Zr	2.43	4.46	0.21 0.14	4.37	- 0.37	n.p.	n.p.		2.24	3.54	0.06 0.06	0.840	4 +
Ge	3.64	2.08	0.53 0.23	2.11	- 0.35	n.p.	n.p.		0.83	0.97	0.03 0.03	0.669	4 +
Hf	4.77	4.45	0.02 0.02	4.57	0.02 0.02	n.p.	n.p.		4.12	3.34	0.05 0.04	0.830	4 +

Footnotes: n.p. not present in the starting solution, <d.1.f below the limit of detection in the reacted solution, standard deviations are duplicate uncertainty for FLU-4A and 4B, and count statistical analytical error for FLU-6 and 8

# **Table DR2**. Analyticaldetection limits

Element	d.l (ppb)
Li	0.19
Na	100
K	100
Rb	0.008
Mg	2.59
Sr	0.048
Pb	0.067
Co	0.015
Ni	0.093
Cu	0.44
Zn	1.38
Mn	0.038
Fe	10
Sn	0.046
Al	100
Ga	0.014
Sc	0.17
Sb	0.004
Bi	0.006
Cr	0.20
Y	0.004
La	0.043
Ce	0.044
Nd	0.017
Sm	0.003
Eu	0.003
Gd	0.004
Tb	0.002
Dy	0.002
Но	0.005
Er	0.003
Yb	0.002
Lu	0.002
Ti	0.09
Zr	0.012
Ge	0.12
Hf	0.006

Table DR3. Lattice-strain fit par	rameters of the exp	perimental pa	artition coefficients.
-----------------------------------	---------------------	---------------	------------------------

Experiment	FLU-4A	FLU-4B	FLU-4	FLU-6.1	FLU-8.2
		1+ el	ements		
log D <sub>0</sub>	2.02	2.15	2.09	1.84	2.24
Е	16.0	18.8	17.4	15.5	23.0
r <sub>0</sub>	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.14	1.23
		2+ el	ements		
log D <sub>0</sub>	4.63	4.85	4.76	3.92	3.70
Е	90	85	95	90	90
r <sub>0</sub>	1.14	1.15	1.14	1.13	1.12
		3+ el	ements		
log D <sub>0</sub>	6.14	5.78	6.00	5.78	5.26
Е	104	80	92	110	110
r <sub>0</sub>	1.00	1.02	1.01	1.05	1.02
		4+ el	ements		
log D <sub>0</sub>	5.31	5.34	5.32	n.a.	4.54
E	66	60	63	n.a.	66
r <sub>0</sub>	1.00	1.00	1.00	n.a.	1.02

**Table DR4.** Fraction of the elements residing as free ion (M), O or OH speciated (MOH), F-speciated (MF) and Cl-speciated (MCl) as determined from thermodynamic modeling for the average composition of final solutions of the FLU-4 series of experiments. Lack of data prevented us from determining this for all elements.

Element	М	MOH	MF	MCl
Li	0.9991	0.0000	0.0002	0.0008
Na	0.9959	0.0000	0.0000	0.0041
Κ	0.9997	0.0000	0.0001	0.0001
Rb	0.9953	0.0000	0.0011	0.0036
Mg	0.9850	0.0000	0.0025	0.0125
Sr	0.9869	0.0000	0.0002	0.0129
Ва	0.9919	0.0000	0.0001	0.0080
Pb	0.6565	0.0000	0.0059	0.3376
Co	0.9464	0.0000	0.0011	0.0526
Ni	0.9967	0.0000	0.0014	0.0020
Cu	0.9568	0.0000	0.0031	0.0401
Zn	0.8657	0.0000	0.0013	0.1330
Cd	0.4590	0.0000	0.0006	0.5404
Mn	0.9746	0.0000	0.0008	0.0246
Fe	0.9855	0.0000	0.0026	0.0119
Sn	0.0000	0.0000	0.0002	0.0048
Al	0.0000	0.0000	1.0000	0.0000
La	0.5595	0.0000	0.4102	0.0303
Ce	0.4216	0.0000	0.5586	0.0198
Nd	0.4878	0.0000	0.4984	0.0139
Sm	0.3673	0.0000	0.6195	0.0132
Eu	0.2544	0.0000	0.7307	0.0149
Gd	0.3068	0.0000	0.6772	0.0160
Tb	0.2359	0.0000	0.7522	0.0119
Dy	0.2175	0.0000	0.7717	0.0109
Но	0.2178	0.0000	0.7714	0.0108
Er	0.2153	0.0000	0.7728	0.0119
Yb	0.1886	0.0000	0.8012	0.0102
Lu	0.1790	0.0000	0.8109	0.0100