2

DATA REPOSITORY ITEM 2008111

3

4

NUMERICAL MODELING METHODS

5 Deformation and thermal evolution were modeled in a 2-D visco-elastic-plastic 6 layer using the fast Lagrangian analysis of continua (FLAC) technique (Cundall, 7 1989). This explicit hybrid finite element–finite difference approach has been used to 8 simulate localized deformation (i.e., faulting) in a variety of extensional environments 9 (Hassani and Chéry, 1996; Poliakov and Buck, 1998; Lavier et al., 2000; Behn et al., 10 2006) and is described in detail by Poliakov (1993) and Lavier and Buck (2002). 11 Material behavior is a function of temperature, strain-rate and accumulated plastic 12 strain throughout the model space. In regions where deformation is visco-elastic, the 13 material behaves as a Maxwell solid. Viscous deformation is incompressible and 14 follows a non-Newtonian temperature- and strain-rate dependent power law assuming 15 a dry diabase rheology (Mackwell et al., 1998). Plastic yielding is controlled by 16 Mohr-Coulomb theory, where cohesion is a function of the total accumulated plastic 17 strain (Poliakov and Buck, 1998; Lavier et al., 2000). In our models, cohesion 18 decreases linearly from 44 MPa to 4 MPa when a critical fault offset of 500 m is 19 reached. This critical fault offset has been shown to produce large-offset faults in 20 thin lithosphere under amagmatic conditions (Lavier et al., 2000), although that 21 modeling did not consider advective heat transport as described below. Following 22 Poliakov and Buck (1998), we also include an annealing time in our calculations in 24 which plastic strain decays over 10^{12} s. This annealing time reduces the broadening 25 of fault zones due to numerical diffusion caused by regridding.

26 Magma injection is imposed by kinematically widening a vertical column of 27 elements in an injection zone at the center of the model space (Buck et al., 2005; 28 Behn et al., 2006). The rate of injection is described by the parameter M, which is 29 defined as the ratio of the rate of injection-zone opening to the rate of far-field 30 extension. The injection zone extends from the surface of the model space to a depth 31 of 6 km. Here we envision that magma is supplied to the injection zone by along-axis 32 transport from the center of the ridge axis. Thus, we assume that the injection zone 33 remains fixed in the across-axis direction even though the thermal structure may 34 migrate relative to its position.

35 Previous studies have used models with fixed thermal structure to investigate 36 extensional faulting, with or without the mechanical effects of magma injection (Poliakov and Buck, 1998; Lavier et al., 2000; Buck et al., 2005; Behn et al., 2006). 37 38 In contrast, we explicitly model temperature evolution associated with mantle flow 39 and magma injection. This approach allows the axial lithospheric structure to adjust 40 to the imposed spreading rate, rate of magma injection, and the resulting deformation 41 field. This is particularly important for simulating the growth of large-offset faults, in 42 which the axial thermal structure becomes highly asymmetric due to the advection of 43 warm material into the footwall beneath the active fault.

44 Temperature evolution is modeled using a Lagrangian formulation, in which the 45 advective component of heat transport follows the deforming grid. At each time step

47 we then use explicit finite differences to solve the heat equation (Lavier and Buck, 48 2002). Heat is added to the ridge axis during magma emplacement due to the 49 injection temperature of the magma and the latent heat of crystallization (Sleep, 1975; 50 Phipps Morgan and Chen, 1993). The effects of hydrothermal circulation on 51 temperature are simulated by increasing the thermal conductivity by a factor (Nusselt 52 number, Nu) above a threshold depth of 7 km where T is also < 600°C (e.g., Phipps 53 Morgan et al., 1987). In the models presented here, Nu=8, which results in a brittle 54 layer thickness of ~ 5 km, consistent with average maximum depth of seismicity at the 55 Mid-Atlantic Ridge (Kong et al., 1992; Wolfe et al., 1995; Barclay et al., 2001).

56 The numerical domain is 60 km wide by 20 km deep, with a maximum grid 57 resolution of 0.25 km x 0.25 km at the ridge axis, which gradually coarsens to 2 km 58 with distance from the ridge. The decrease in grid resolution off-axis results in 59 smoothing of the topography due to numerical diffusion during regridding (see 60 below). Deformation is driven by applying a uniform rate of far-field extension along 61 the sides of the model space corresponding to a full spreading rate of 50 mm/yr (i.e., 62 at a rate near the transition from slow to intermediate spreading rates). A hydrostatic 63 boundary condition is assumed for the base of the model space and the top boundary 64 is stress-free. The top of the model space is set to 0°C, while the bottom is defined to 65 follow an error function with an initial temperature of 1300° C at time t = 0. This 66 bottom boundary condition allows us to use a relatively thin numerical domain while 67 still modeling temperature accurately throughout the model space. All models except 68 M=0 begin with laterally uniform temperature structure. For M=0 (Video DR6), the

Tucholke, Page 4

model starts with slightly elevated temperatures at the center of the model so as to
focus strain in the middle of the model domain; otherwise strain would concentrate at
the model boundaries.

73 The FLAC method employs an explicit time-marching scheme, in which the time 74 step is set to be the minimum of the Maxwell relaxation time $(2\eta/E)$ and the time 75 required for an elastic P-wave to propagate across a distance equal to the local grid 76 spacing. Because of the high grid resolution used in our calculations, the elastic 77 propagation time would result in extremely short time steps and large computational 78 times. To circumvent this problem we employ an adaptive density scaling method 79 (Cundall, 1982). This approach assumes that in situations where the inertial forces are small, the inertial density and hence the time step can be increased. We also 80 chose a ratio of the imposed boundary velocity to the P-wave velocity of 5 x 10^{-5} 81 82 (Lavier et al., 2000), resulting in a time step of 1–5 years.

83 Regridding is necessary to overcome problems due to the degradation of 84 numerical accuracy when elements become highly distorted due to either faulting or 85 intrusion. The initial mesh consists of quadrilateral elements that are subdivided into 86 triangles. Regridding occurs when the minimum angle in any of the triangular 87 elements drops below 5° . During remeshing, strains are transferred from the old 88 (deformed) to the new (undeformed) grid using linear interpolation (Lavier and Buck, 89 2002). This interpolation results in out-of-balance forces at the nodes, producing 90 artificial accelerations that decay over several hundred time steps; this is observed in 91 intermittent 'flash frames' in videos of model extension (e.g., near the 1 m.v time-step

92	Tucholke, Page 5
93	for M=0.5, see Video DR2). To dampen these artificial accelerations more rapidly,
94	we decrease the time step by an order of magnitude immediately following a
95	remeshing event and then increase it linearly to its original value over 1000 time
96	steps.
97	Videos DR1 to DR6 show QuickTime movies for a total of 1.5 m.y. of extension
98	for models with M values ranging from 0.7 down to 0.
99	
100	ANALYSIS OF MEGAMULLIONS
101	We analyzed multibeam bathymetric data from the RIDGE Multibeam database
102	(http://www.marine-geo.org/rmbs/) and from the published literature. Megamullions
103	formed by long-lived detachment faults were identified on the basis of their
104	characteristic morphology (domed shape and large-scale corrugations parallel to
105	spreading direction). Where identification was uncertain, data are represented as
106	open circles connected by dashed vertical lines in the plots of Fig. 3. Megamullion
107	frequency was determined for spreading segments that are defined by first- and
108	second-order discontinuities (transform faults and non-transform discontinuities with
109	offsets greater than a few kilometers, respectively). Where available, data from both
110	ridge flanks were used for each segment. In a few cases where off-axis traces of non-
111	transform discontinuities were ambiguous, or where only minor discontinuities were
112	present at fast-spreading ridges, determinations were made on combined segments.
113	For each spreading segment, we determined average axial depth along-axis
114	between the centers of bounding transform or non-transform discontinuities. Ideally,

Tucholke, Page 6

116 instead of using axial depth, we would have used crustal thickness modeled from 117 RMBA gravity to estimate the state of magma supply at the axis of each ridge 118 segment. Unfortunately, only a few of the segments examined have gravity data 119 available, and where such data have been published, variations in the assumed 120 modeling parameters preclude consistent comparisons among segments.

121 Potential sources of error in the megamullion frequency plots include the 122 following. First, the full lengths of spreading segments were not always covered by 123 multibeam bathymetry. In these cases the available data were used if they covered a 124 substantial portion of the segment and appeared to be representative of the segment as 125 a whole; we consider this not to be a significant source of error. Second, some 126 multibeam survey areas cover only a few thousand km², so frequency values could 127 change significantly with the addition or deletion of one or two megamullions; also, 128 most of the highest frequency values are associated with survey areas less than ~4500 129 km². Thus, details of frequency values may not be significant, although we judge the 130 overall pattern to be robust. Finally, because we have no way to establish former 131 axial depth for any megamullion that is now off-axis, we assume that conditions of 132 tectonic vs. magmatic extension have not changed within the spreading segment and 133 that the average depth at the present spreading axis is representative of the time when 134 the megamullion formed; in all of these instances, values plot well within the axial-135 depth range of near- and on-axis megamullions, so this assumption appears not to 136 introduce any significant error.

Tucholke, Page 7

It is notable that all data plotting at average axial depths greater than 5000 m in Fig. 3A are for the abandoned spreading ridge in the Parece Vela backarc basin. These data were corrected for thermal subsidence, based on an age of 13 Ma at the abandoned rift axis (Ohara et al., 2001). Because the data available for conditions of very low magma supply (axial depths >5000 m) are so limited, there may be important aspects of megamullion formation in this range that presently are undetected.

145

146 VIDEO CAPTIONS

147 Video DR1 - QuickTime movie showing 1.5 m.y. of extension for M = 0.7 and a full 148 spreading rate of 50 mm/yr. Panels show plastic strain (top), log_{10} strain-rate 149 (middle), and temperature (bottom). High-strain zones simulate faults. Arrows in the 150 middle panel show velocity at each time step (note that grid spacing is significantly 151 finer than spacing of velocity vectors). The black line in the bottom panel marks the 152 600° isotherm (approximate brittle/plastic transition). The model is presented with no 153 vertical exaggeration.

154

155 Video DR2 - QuickTime movie for M = 0.5. See Video DR1 caption for full 156 description.

157

158 Video DR3 - QuickTime movie for M = 0.4. See Video DR1 caption for full
159 description.

160	Tucholke, Page 8
161	Video DR4 - QuickTime movie for $M = 0.3$. See Video DR1 caption for full
162	description.
163	
164	Video DR5 - QuickTime movie for $M = 0.2$. See Video DR1 caption for full
165	description.
166	
167	Video DR6 - QuickTime movie for $M = 0$. See Video DR1 caption for full
168	description.

170 **REFERENCES CITED**

- Barclay, A.H., Toomey, D.R., and Solomon, S.C., 2001, Microearthquake
 characteristics and crustal Vp/Vs structure at the Mid-Atlantic Ridge, 35° N:
 Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 106, p. 2017-2034.
- Behn, M.D., Buck, W.R., and Sacks, I.S., 2006, Topographic controls on dike
 injection in volcanic rift zones: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 246, p.
 188-196, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2006.04.005.
- Buck, W.R., Lavier, L.L., and Poliakov, A.N.B., 2005, Modes of faulting at midocean ridges: Nature, v. 434, p. 719-723, doi:10.1038/nature03358.
- Cundall, P.A., 1982, Adaptive density-scaling for time-explicit calculations, 4th
 International Conference on Numerical Methods in Geomechanics,
 Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, v. 1, p. 23-26.
- 182 Cundall, P.A., 1989, Numerical experiments on localization in frictional materials:
 183 Archive of Applied Mechanics, v. 58, p. 148-159.
- Hassani, R., and Chéry, J., 1996, Anelasticity explains topography associated with
 Basin and Range normal faulting: Geology, v. 24, p. 1095-1098.
- 186 Kong, L.S., Solomon, S.C., and Purdy, G.M., 1992, Microearthquake characteristics
 187 of a mid-ocean ridge along-axis high: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 97,
 188 p. 1659-1685.
- Lavier, L.L., and Buck, W.R., 2002, Half graben versus large-offset low-angle normal
 fault: Importance of keeping cool during normal faulting: Journal of
 Geophysical Research, v. 107, doi: 10.1029/2001JB000513.

193	Lavier, L.L., Buck, W.R., and Poliakov, A.N., 2000, Factors controlling normal fault
194	offset in an ideal brittle layer: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 105, p.
195	23,431-23,442, doi: 10.1029/2000JB900108.
196	Mackwell, S.J., Zimmerman, M.E., and Kohlstedt, D.L., 1998, High-temperature
197	deformation of dry diabase with application to tectonics on Venus: Journal of
198	Geophysical Research, v. 103, p. 975-984.
199	Ohara, Y., Yoshida, T., Kato, Y., and Kasuga, S., 2001, Giant megamullion in the
200	Parece Vela backarc basin: Marine Geophysical Researches, v. 22, p. 47-61,
201	doi:10.1023/A:1004818225642.
202	Phipps Morgan, J., and Chen, Y.J., 1993, The genesis of oceanic crust: Magma
203	injection, hydrothermal circulation, and crustal flow: Journal of Geophysical
204	Research, v. 98, p. 6283-6297.
205	Phipps Morgan, J., Parmentier, E.M., and Lin, J., 1987, Mechanisms for the origin of
206	mid-ocean ridge axial topography: Implications for the thermal and
207	mechanical structure of accreting plate boundaries: Journal of Geophysical
208	Research, v. 92, p. 12,823-12,836.
209	Poliakov, A.N.B., 1993, An explicit inertial method for the simulation of viscoelastic
210	flow: An evaluation of elastic effects on diapiric flow in two- and three-layers
211	models, in Stone, D.B., and Runcorn, S.K., eds., Flow and Creep in the Solar
212	System: Observations, Modeling and Theory, Kluwer Academic Publishers, p.
213	175-195.

Tucholke, I	Page 1	1
-------------	--------	---

215	Poliakov, A.N.B., and Buck, W.R., 1998, Mechanics of stretching elastic-plastic-
216	viscous layers: Applications to slow-spreading mid-ocean ridges, in Buck,
217	W.R., Delaney, P.T., Karson, J.A., and Lagabrielle, Y., eds., Faulting and
218	Magmatism at Mid-Ocean Ridges, Geophysical Monograph 106, American
219	Geophysical Union, Washington, D. C., p. 305-323.
220	Sleep, N.H., 1975, Formation of the oceanic crust: Some thermal constraints: Journal
221	of Geophysical Research, v. 80, p. 4037-4042.
222	Wolfe, C.J., Purdy, G.M., Toomey, D.R., and Solomon, S.C., 1995, Microearthquake
223	characteristics and crustal velocity structure at 29°N on the Mid-Atlantic
224	Ridge: The architecture of a slow spreading segment: Journal of Geophysical
225	Research, v. 100, p. 24,449-24,472.