DR2004130

DATA REPOSITORY: Sample preparation and data analysis

Sample preparation

Samples were prepared by crushing to ~ 0.5 mm, then purifying quartz through a series of chemical and physical treatments. All samples were first leached in aqua regia. When necessary, mafic minerals were separated magnetically and heavy minerals were separated in lithium metatungstate. All samples were then leached in a series of 1% hydrofluoric/nitric acid baths, agitated first on commercial hot-dog rollers followed by an ultrasonic bath to remove aluminosilicates and meteoric ¹⁰Be (Kohl and Nishiizumi, 1991). The purified quartz was then dissolved in 5:1 hydrofluoric/nitric acid, and an aliquot taken for aluminum determination by atomic absorption spectrophotometry. Upon drydown, fluorides were removed by repeated fuming in sulfuric acid. Iron was removed by ion exchange in 10 N HCl. Titanium was removed through ion exchange in sulfuric acid following Child et al. (2000), and aluminum and beryllium were separated on a cation exchange column. Aluminum and beryllium were precipitated as hydroxides and rinsed in water, then oxidized in a furnace at 1100°C. Aluminum was mixed with silver, and beryllium with niobium for AMS analyses. All measurements were made at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

AMS measurements and ¹⁰Be half-life

AMS measurements of ¹⁰Be/⁹Be were made at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) against a standard derived from an ICN solution This standard is

calibrated by activity, so its ¹⁰Be/⁹Be ratio depends on the half-life of ¹⁰Be. There are two conflicting estimates for the ¹⁰Be half-life (Middleton et al., 1993): 1.34 m.y. and 1.51 m.y. The half-life of 1.51 m.y. has been traditionally used at LLNL. Recent evidence suggests that the half-life of 1.34 m.y. is more nearly correct (M. Caffee, personal communication). This half-life is based on activity and Be concentrations measurements made by NIST on the same aliquot. Adjusting the LLNL standard to the NIST half-life requires reducing ¹⁰Be concentrations by 14%. Production rates measured against the same standard must also be changed accordingly. These changes do not affect interpretation of surface exposure ages, but are important when accounting for radioactive decay.

Modeling terrace age and erosion rate

Cosmogenic nuclide production and decay was modeled through analytical solution of an approximation made by Granger and Smith (2000), shown as equation (DR1).

$$N = N_{inh} e^{-t/\tau} + [P_n e^{-\rho z/\Lambda} / (1/\tau + \rho \varepsilon_{terr}/\Lambda)] [1 - e^{-t(1/\tau + \rho \varepsilon terr/\Lambda)}] + [YA_1 e^{-\rho z/L1} / (1/\tau + \rho \varepsilon_{terr}/L_1)] [1 - e^{-t(1/\tau + \rho \varepsilon terr/L1)}] + [YA_2 e^{-\rho z/L2} / (1/\tau + \rho \varepsilon_{terr}/L_2)] [1 - e^{-t(1/\tau + \rho \varepsilon terr/L2)}]$$
(DR1)
+ [Be^{-\rho z/L3} / (1/\tau + \rho \varepsilon_{terr}/L_3)] [1 - e^{-t(1/\tau + \rho \varepsilon terr/L3)}],

where $\varepsilon_{\text{terr}}$ is the terrace erosion rate, $A_1 = 170.6$ and $A_2 = 36.75$ at sea-level and high latitude, $L_1 = 738.6$ g cm⁻², $L_2 = 2688$ g cm⁻² and $L_3 = 4360$ g cm⁻², $Y_{Al} = 4.24$ x 10⁻³ and $B_{Al} = 0.192$ for ²⁶Al and $Y_{Be} = 4.91 \times 10^{-4}$ and $B_{Be} = 0.023$ for ¹⁰Be (adjusted for a halflife of 1.34 m.y.). Modeling cosmogenic nuclide concentrations is thus possible through the use of well-constrained production rates and penetration lengths, and the variables *t*, *z*, N_{inh} and ε_{terr} .

The last term added in Equation (DR1) addresses cosmogenic nuclide production at depth by fast muons, which remains poorly constrained (e.g., Braucher and others, 2003). The constants *B* and L_3 in this term are derived from values in Heisinger (1998), which differ from those of Heisinger et al. (2002a; 2002b) due to a different parameterization for muon energy with depth. For our sites, if we use the cross section of Heisinger (2002b) then the terrace age increases by ~0.1 m.y.; ignoring fast muons altogether lowers the apparent age by a similar amount. This additional uncertainty does not affect our conclusions, and is not included in our reported uncertainties.

References:

- Braucher, R., Brown, E.T., Bourles, D.L., and F. Colin, 2003, In situ produced ¹⁰Be measurements at great depths: implications for production rates by fast muons: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 211, p. 251-258.
- Child, D., Elliott, G., Mifsud, C., Smith, A. M., and Fink, D., Sample processing for earth science studies at ANTARES: Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research v. B172, p. 856-860.

- Heisinger, B.P., 1998, Myonen-induzierte Produktion von Radionukliden: Ph.D. Thesis, Techn. Univ. München, 152 p.
- Heisinger, B, Lal, D., Jull, A.J.T., Kubik, P., Ivy-Ochs, S., Neumaier, S., Knie, K., Lazarev, V. and E. Nolte, 2002a, Production of selected cosmogenic radionuclides by muons: 1. Fast muons: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 200, p. 345-355.
- Heisinger, B, Lal, D., Jull, A.J.T., Kubik, P., Ivy-Ochs, S., Knie, K., and E. Nolte.
 2002b. Production of selected cosmogenic radionuclides by muons: 2. Capture of negative muons. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, vol. 200, p. 357-369.
- Kohl, C. P., and Nishiizumi, K., 1991, Chemical isolation of quartz for measurement of in-situ produced cosmogenic nuclides: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 56, p. 3583-3587.
- Middleton, R., Brown, L., Dezfouly-Arjomandy, B., and Klein, J., 1993, On ¹⁰Be standards and the half-life of ¹⁰Be: Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research v. B82, p. 399-403.