## Appendix Table DR1. SHRIMP U-Pb zircon data for adakites from south Tibet

Grain	U (ppm)	Th (ppm)	Th/U	f <sub>206</sub> (%)	<sup>206</sup> Pb*/ <sup>238</sup> U (±1• )	<sup>207</sup> Pb*/ <sup>235</sup> U (±1• )	<sup>206</sup> Pb/ <sup>238</sup> U age (Ma ± 1 • )	
T016	(29.57°N	94 58°ፑ)			<b>`</b>		ř	
5.1	358	20	0.06	6.03	$0.00382 \pm 0.00001$		$24.6 \pm 0.8$	
7.1	262	174	0.66	1.14	$0.00403 \pm 0.00009$	$0.0291 \pm 0.0053$	25.9 ± 0.6	
8.1	981	823	0.84	0.61	$0.00423 \pm 0.00006$	$0.0253 \pm 0.0028$	27.2 ± 0.4	
9.2	575	302	0.53	2.95	$0.00417 \pm 0.00008$	$0.0160 \pm 0.0046$	26.8 ± 0.5	
11.1	640	162	0.25	3.38	$0.00414 \pm 0.00007$	$0.0141 \pm 0.0047$	26.6 ± 0.5	
13.1	221	73	0.33	5.29	$0.00373 \pm 0.00010$		24.0 ± 0.7	
19.1	376	101	0.27	1.36	0.00409 ± 0.00007	$0.0277 \pm 0.0021$	26.3 ± 0.4	
20.1	2100	993	0.47	1.16	$0.00424 \pm 0.00009$	$0.0235 \pm 0.0023$	27.3 ± 0.6	
21.1	296	95	0.32	2.58	$0.00385 \pm 0.00013$	$0.0154 \pm 0.0086$	24.8 ± 0.8	
22.1	1473	450	0.31	0.71	$0.00406 \pm 0.00009$	$0.0252 \pm 0.0021$	26.1 ± 0.6	
23.1	384	146	0.38	2.34	$0.00395 \pm 0.00011$	$0.0208 \pm 0.0058$	25.4 ± 0.7	
24.1	717	261	0.36	2.92	0.00396 ± 0.00010	$0.0130 \pm 0.0052$	25.5 ± 0.6	
29.1	368	162	0.44	3.75	$0.00397 \pm 0.00012$	$0.0150 \pm 0.0092$	25.5 ± 0.8	
weighted	mean (2+ 🗦	)					$26.2 \pm 0.6$	
ET023	(29.61°N	91.60°E)						
1.1	3540	2876	0.81	0.65	$0.00281 \pm 0.00004$	$0.0164 \pm 0.0008$	$18.1 \pm 0.3$	
3.1	456	192	0.42	4.81	$0.00257 \pm 0.00006$		$16.6 \pm 0.4$	
4.1	310	115	0.37	-0.60	$0.00269 \pm 0.00006$	$0.0206 \pm 0.0014$	$17.3 \pm 0.4$	
5.1	404	2.04	0.50	1.53	$0.00268 \pm 0.00006$	$0.0152 \pm 0.0046$	$17.2 \pm 0.4$	
6.1	371	189	0.51	5.30	$0.00259 \pm 0.00006$		$16.6 \pm 0.4$	
7.1	323	130	0.40	2.93	$0.00268 \pm 0.00008$		$17.3 \pm 0.5$	
8.1	636	328	0.52	2.30	$0.00263 \pm 0.00006$	$0.0119 \pm 0.0027$	$17.0 \pm 0.4$	
10.1	391	166	0.42	3,99	$0.00255 \pm 0.00006$	$0.0070 \pm 0.0039$	$16.4 \pm 0.4$	
11.1	396	210	0.53	8.71	$0.00249 \pm 0.00008$		$16.0 \pm 0.5$	
11.2	327	118	0.36	1.58	$0.00253 \pm 0.00005$	$0.0149 \pm 0.0027$	$16.3 \pm 0.3$	
weighted	mean (2+ 🗦	)					$17.0 \pm 0.5$	
ET025B	(29.69°N	91.75ምር)						
11	892	1116	1.25	5.17	0.00241 + 0.00007	0.0076 + 0.0043	$15.5 \pm 0.4$	
2.1	437	453	1.04	14.28	$0.00217 \pm 0.00010$	$-0.0130 \pm 0.0112$	$14.0 \pm 0.7$	
3.1	439	535	1.22	5.34	$0.00234 \pm 0.00009$		$15.1 \pm 0.6$	
4.1	464	837	1.80	10.74	$0.00215 \pm 0.00009$		$13.9 \pm 0.6$	
5.1	1073	1005	0.94	0.50	$0.00247 \pm 0.00006$	$0.0193 \pm 0.0017$	$15.9 \pm 0.4$	
6.1	458	462	1.01	10.43	$0.00215 \pm 0.00011$		$13.8 \pm 0.7$	
7.1	1724	1308	0.76	3.66	$0.00237 \pm 0.00005$	$0.0099 \pm 0.0025$	$15.3 \pm 0.4$	
8.1	796	585	0.73	7.25	$0.00224 \pm 0.00007$		$14.4 \pm 0.4$	
9.1	321	440	1.37	9.44	$0.00225 \pm 0.00009$		14.5 ± 0.6	
10.1	809	943	1.17	2.39	$0.00237 \pm 0.00006$	$0.0158 \pm 0.0039$	$15.3 \pm 0.4$	
11.1	398	481	1.21	6.17	$0.00235 \pm 0.00008$		$15.1 \pm 0.5$	
12.1	440	389	0.88	6.35	$0.00235 \pm 0.00009$		$15.1 \pm 0.6$	
weighted	mean (2• 👌	)					$15.0 \pm 0.4$	

U-Pb zircon dating was conducted using a SHRIMP II ion microprobe newly installed in the Institute of Geology, Chinese Academy of Geological Sciences, Beijing.Zircon grains, together with a zircon U-Pb standard, were cast in an epoxy mount, which was then polished to section the crystals for analysis. Zircons were documented with transmitted and reflected light micrographs and back-scattered electron images, and the mount was vacuum-coated with a 500 nm layer of high-purity gold. Measurements of U, Th, and Pb U-Th-Pb ratios and absolute abundances were determined relative to the TEM standardzircon (206Pb/238U = 0.0668 corresponding to 417 Ma), analyses of which were interspersed with those of unknown grains, using operating and data processing procedures similar to those established in the RSES, Australian National University. The mass resolution used to measure Pb/Pb and Pb/U isotopic ratios was about 5000 during the analyses. Measured compositions were corrected for common Pb using non-radiogenic 204Pb. The results, tabulated and plotted in the concordia diagrams, are given as Appendix I. The uncertainties for individual analyses are reported at 1 $\sigma$  level. Owing to relatively young ages of the samples dated, only the mean dates for pooled 206Pb/238U analyses are used to indicate the magmatic ages, which are quoted with 95% confidence interval (2 $\sigma$ ).



Appendix I. Plots of U-Pb zircon data

Sample	Phase	Plateau	Ν	<sup>39</sup> Ar	Intercept	$({}^{40}\text{Ar}/{}^{36}\text{Ar})_i$	MSWD
	dated	date		proportion	date		
		$(Myr \pm \uparrow)$	(steps)	(%)	$(Myr \pm \uparrow)$	(± Î)	
T041D	Whole rock	15.0±0.3	6	55.4	15.1±0.8	297±22	2.420
T081	Whole rock	18.4±0.4	10	94.4	18.6±1.3	291±7	1.634
ET025B	Sanidine	15.2±0.2	19	100	14.8±0.3	306±3	2.125
ET025E	Whole rock	13.2±0.2	7	67.6	12.9±0.3	303±21	1.024
ET026C	Whole rock	16.6±0.2	6	69.3	16.7±0.9	290±28	1.801
ET026D	Sanidine	16.4±0.9	7	74.1	15.7±0.1	307±27	0.498

Appendix Table DR2. Summary of <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar dating results of adakites from southern Tibet

Note: Step-heating  ${}^{40}$ Ar/ ${}^{39}$ Ar age determinations were performed at National Taiwan University using a Varian-MAT GD 120 mass spectrometer coupled with a double-vacuum resistance furnace. Whole rock chips or mineral grains, handpicked and sieved to the range of 140-250 µm, were ultrasonically cleaned in distilled water and dried, and then irradiated in the THOR Reactor at National Tsing-Hua University in Taiwan. To monitor the neutron flux, aliquots of the LP-6 biotite standard (128.4±0.2 Ma), weighted 6-10 mg, were stacked with the samples. More analytical details can be found in Chung et al. (1998). The results, summarized in a table and plotted in age spectra and correlation diagrams, are given in Appendix II.



Appendix II. Plots of <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar dating results.

Appendix Table DR3. Major and trace element data of representative adakite samples from southern Tibet										
sample	T065C	T041D	T081	T016	ET023	ET025B	ET025E	ET026C	ET026D	AGV-1
locality	Majiang	Xigaze	Xigaze	Linzhi	Jiama	Jiama	Jiama	Nanmu	Nanmu	
lithology	dike	dike	dike	plug	plug	plug	dike	plug	dike	USGS-std.
longitude	89.88°E	88.81°E	88.85°E	94.58°E	91.60°E	91.75°E	91.75°E	90.87°E	90.87°E	
latitude	29.74°N	29.36°N	29.32°N	29.57°N	29.61°N	26.69°N	26.69°N	29.48°N	29.48°N	
altitude(m	4605	3866	3706	3976	5121	4645	4645	3816	3816	
age (Ma)	~10-16**	$15.0\pm0.6$	$18.4\pm0.8$	$26.2\pm0.5$	$17.0\pm0.5$	$15.0\pm0.4$	$13.2\pm0.4$	$16.6\pm0.4$	$16.4\pm1.8$	
method*	Ar-Ar (sa)	Ar-Ar (wr)	Ar-Ar (wr)	U-Pb (zr)	U-Pb (zr)	U-Pb (zr)	Ar-Ar (wr)	Ar-Ar (sa)	Ar-Ar (wr)	
						$15.2 \pm 0.4$ Ar-Ar (sa)				
(wt.%)										
SiO <sub>2</sub>	57.47	56.73	60.57	63.48	65.27	67.32	66.76	65.41	63.62	58.26
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.66	0.83	0.62	0.61	0.53	0.45	0.33	0.51	0.55	1.08
$Al_2O_3$	15.41	17.17	16.18	18.75	16.81	14.43	15.16	16.25	16.32	17.32
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> ¶	4.67	5.83	3.97	3.38	3.52	1.14	2.07	3.19	2.75	6.87
MnO	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.10
MgO	2.39	3.31	2.25	1.05	1.53	1.26	0.93	1.29	1.04	1.37
CaO	5.29	5.54	3.68	3.98	3.53	1.85	2.70	3.47	3.40	4.87
Na <sub>2</sub> O	3.60	4.11	5.35	4.57	4.19	3.15	3.63	4.25	4.61	3.91
K <sub>2</sub> O	2.71	1.72	2.01	1.89	2.95	5.69	3.43	2.78	2.71	2.99
$P_2O_5$	0.26	0.27	0.18	0.20	0.19	0.05	0.14	0.17	0.21	0.48
Mg#	50.3	52.9	52.8	40.8	49.1	68.6	47.1	44.4	42.8	30.5
(ppm)										(n=13; ±21)
Rb	81.3	30.5	48.2	78.7	85.9	337	159	66.8	61.4	$67.3 \pm 1.3$
Ва	1008	490	689	537	755	748	823	713	1032	$1213 \pm 25$
Th	17.9	3.27	3.68	7.84	8.83	19.2	14.2	7.49	8.86	$6.38\pm0.09$
U	4.02	0.64	0.76	0.99	2.52	5.13	5.09	2.65	2.34	$1.89\pm0.04$
Nb	4.66	3.80	3.64	3.01	3.67	6.94	4.28	2.93	3.10	$13.8\pm0.2$
Та	0.31	0.23	0.23	0.36	0.30	0.46	0.33	0.18	0.18	$0.85\pm0.02$
La	37.6	17.8	13.1	52.9	21.9	26.8	24.4	21.3	21.6	$38.6\pm0.4$
Ce	75.8	40.1	28.4	104	45.9	50.8	47.7	43.8	46.7	$68.8\pm0.7$
Pb	47.9	16.4	17.1	29.3	12.6	20.5	31.6	18.4	22.8	$37.1\pm0.3$
Pr	8.93	5.12	3.47	11.2	5.51	5.43	5.30	5.08	5.64	$7.61\pm0.07$
Sr	1121	911	1004	921	1048	360	689	902	1051	$658 \pm 13$
Nd	35.0	21.8	14.4	41.3	22.5	19.6	19.8	19.9	22.4	$31.7\pm0.5$
Zr	123	99	101	210	89	129	102	108	85	$232 \pm 4$
Hf	3.22	2.77	2.97	5.07	2.54	3.74	3.42	3.11	2.64	$5.03\pm0.08$
Sm	5.79	4.19	2.79	5.68	3.96	3.09	3.22	3.35	3.67	$5.81 \pm 0.12$
Eu	1.58	1.21	0.84	1.26	0.99	0.79	0.87	0.95	1.06	$1.73 \pm 0.03$
Gd	4.36	3.46	2.23	3.44	2.81	2.43	2.43	2.53	2.59	$5.09 \pm 0.12$
Tb	0.45	0.43	0.29	0.27	0.31	0.27	0.27	0.28	0.27	0.704±0.016
Dy	1.95	2.03	1.33	1.02	1.56	1.24	1.25	1.24	1.13	$3.55 \pm 0.06$
Y	9.0	9.5	7.1	5.4	8.2	8.3	6.9	6.4	5.6	$19.4 \pm 0.3$
Ho	0.31	0.35	0.22	0.13	0.27	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.19	$0.68 \pm 0.01$
Er	0.82	0.93	0.59	0.52	0.72	0.60	0.60	0.54	0.52	$1.87 \pm 0.03$
1m	0.097	0.12	0.073	0.08	0.097	0.083	0.081	0.066	0.060	0.259±0.007
Yb	0.61	0.74	0.46	0.46	0.65	0.56	0.53	0.44	0.38	$1.64 \pm 0.04$
LU	0.086	0.11	0.063	0.05	0.094	0.086	0.082	0.063	0.052	0.246±0.006

\* wr: whole rock; zr: zircon; sa: sanidine.

\*\* Data from Coulon et al. (1986).

¶ total Fe. Mg# =100(Mg/Mg+0.9Fe).

Major and trace elements were determined at National Taiwan University by X-ray fluorescence method using a Rigaku RIX-2000 spectrometer and by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry using an Agilent 7500s quadrupole ICP-MS, respectively. The routine analytical precision and accuracy for most elements measured are estimated to be <5%. To make sure the completion of digestion of the plug-type, coarser-grained samples, fused whole rock glasses made for XRF analyses were used for ICP-MS trace element analyses and these were then checked by duplicate runs of powders digested using high-pressure teflon bombs. Results obtained by both procedures show good consistency, generally with RSD <10 %.