## DATA REPOSITORY ITEM I:

1

46

2 Minimum Volume Estimate for the Table Mountain Latite 3 4 We made a minimum volume estimate of the Table Mountain Latite (TML), using the 5 areal extent mapped in outcrop (see Figure 1 of this paper). We made the assumption that 6 the paleo-channel deposits were originally continuous, and we assumed a minimum 7 average thickness of at least 50 m in the paleochannels, using the measured values of 46 8 m at the westernmost preserved TML extent near Knight's Ferry (Gorny et al., 2009), and 9 over 100 m near the eastern extent at Burcham Creek (King et al., 2007). 10 We also calculated the volume of lavas preserved in grabens of the Sierrra Crest (this 11 paper) and range front (Busby et al., 2013b). 12 13 Using polygons in ArcGIS, we measured the area each of the polygons shown below: 14 15 1) inferred original extent of >50 m thick paleochannel deposits (Polygon A, gray); 16 2) preserved extent of >350 m thick graben-ponded deposits (Polygon B, green) and 17 3) preserved extent of >200 m thick graben-ponded deposits (Polygon C, teal). 18 19 Volume Estimate 20 Volume = B\*h where B=area of the base and h=thickness 21 22 Polygon A (gray) 23 h = 50 m24 Area =  $1550 \text{ km}^2$ 25 Volume = 1550\*0.05Volume =  $78 \text{ km}^3$ 26 27 28 Polygon B 29 Present-day preserved TML thickness is 400 m in the Stanislaus Peak -30 Sonora Peak – Leavitt Peak segment of the Sierra crest graben (top eroded; this paper), and in range front half grabens directly to the east (Busby and Hagan, 2013). Subtracting 31 32 the 50 m inferred regional thickness applied in polygon A, we used a minimum thickness 33 of 350m. 34 h = 350 mArea of Polygon B is ~285 km<sup>2</sup> 35 Volume =  $100 \text{ km}^3$ 36 37 38 Polygon C 39 Present-day preserved TML thickness averages ~ 200 m at in the Disaster 40 Peak segment of the Sierra Crest graben, and in the related transfer zone graben at 41 Mineral Mountain (both mapped in this paper). Subtracting the 50 m inferred regional 42 thickness applied in polygon A, we used a minimum thickness of 150 m. 43 44 h = 150 m45 Area of Polygon  $C = 138 \text{ km}^2$ 

Volume =  $21 \text{ km}^3$ 

```
47
        Total minimum volume = 78 \text{ km}^3 + 100 \text{ km}^3 + 21 \text{ km}^3 = 199 \text{ km}^3
48
49
50
51
52
                                                                               h = 350 \text{ m}; B = 285 \text{ km}^2
53
                                          h = 150 \text{ m}; C = 138 \text{ km}^2
                                                                                   Volume = 100 \text{ km}^3
54
        Total Volume =
                                               Volume = 21 \text{ km}^3
                 199 \text{ km}^3
55
56
                                          h = 50 \text{ m}; Total area (A) = 1550 km<sup>2</sup>; Volume = 78 km<sup>3</sup>
57
58
                                                                             (Diagram not to scale)
59
```

 The actual volume of the TML could be twice as large, because lavas that flowed down a paleochannel far to the south of the paleo-channel that heads at Sonora Pass (Figure 1) were likely fed from an area south of the Little Walker Caldera; we therefore suspect that much of the Bridgeport basin is underlain by TML-filled grabens. Perhaps it could be detected by a geophysical experiment.