

Gooley, J.T., Sharman, G.R., and Graham, S.A., 2020, Reconciling along-strike disparity in slip displacement of the San Andreas fault, central California, USA: GSA Bulletin, <https://doi.org/10.1130/B35681.1>.

Supplemental Material

Appendix S1. Sample preparation and analytical methods

Table S1. Original sample names

Table S2. Explanation of displaced features used in Figures 3 and 14

Table S3. Analytical results from University of Arizona LaserChron Center

Table S4. Analytical results from University of California, Santa Cruz

Table S5. Data sources for modern river and Eocene strata Th/U values in Figure 9.

Table S6. Major structures with NW-SE extension or shortening

Appendix S1: Sample Preparation and Analytical Methods

Mineral Separation for Detrital Zircon Analysis (Stanford University Earth Materials Lab)

Rock samples were crushed and disaggregated using a Bico-Braun chipmunk jaw crusher and Bico-Braun disk grinder. Disaggregated samples were individually hydrodynamically processed on a Gemini table to concentrate heavy sand fractions. Heavy sand fractions were rinsed in acetone to prevent grains from rusting and then were oven-dried. Less magnetic minerals were concentrated using a sloped Frantz magnetic separator set at a 10° incline and 100 V at 0.4 Å (Å), 0.8 Å, and 1.2 Å. Separates were then run through methylene iodide (MEI) heavy liquid ($\rho = 3.32 \text{ g/cm}^3$) to collect the final nonmagnetic heavy fraction. Sample separates were sent to the University of Arizona LaserChron center to be mounted individually in a 2.54 cm (1 inch) epoxy mount with fragments of primary (FC-Z5, 1099 Ma; Paces and Miller, 1993), Sri Lanka (SL-Mix and SL-F; 563.5 Ma; Gehrels et al., 2008) and secondary (R33, 419 Ma; Black et al., 2004) standard zircons. Mounts were polished to half of mean grain thickness ($\sim 20 \mu\text{m}$) for imaging with a back-scattered electron (BSE) detector, using a Hitachi 3400N scanning electron microscope (SEM). The mounts were then polished to expose the zircon grain cores (1500 grit wet/dry sandpaper, followed by 6 μm , then 1 μm diamond powder slurries) on a Struers LabPol5 rotary polisher, and coated with roughly 10 nm high-purity gold in a Denton sputter coater before analysis.

U-Pb Geochronology Analysis by LA-ICP-MS (University of Arizona LaserChron Center)

(More information available at www.laserchron.org)

U-Pb geochronology of zircons was conducted by laser ablation multicollector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-MC-ICPMS) at the Arizona LaserChron Center (Gehrels et al., 2006, 2008). The analyses involve ablation of zircon with a Photon Machines Analyte G2 excimer laser using a spot diameter of 30 microns. The ablated material is carried in helium into the plasma source of a Nu HR ICPMS, which is equipped with a flight tube of sufficient width that U, Th, and Pb isotopes are measured simultaneously. All measurements are made in static mode, using Faraday detectors with $3 \times 10^{11} \text{ ohm}$ resistors for ^{238}U , ^{232}Th , ^{208}Pb – ^{206}Pb , and discrete dynode ion counters for ^{204}Pb and ^{202}Hg . Ion yields are $\sim 0.8 \text{ mv}$ per ppm. Each analysis consists of one 15-second integration on peaks with the laser off (for backgrounds), 15 one-second integrations with the laser firing, and a 30 second delay to purge the previous sample and prepare for the next analysis. The ablation pit is ~ 15 microns in depth.

For each analysis, the errors in determining $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ and $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ result in a measurement error of $\sim 1\%$ – 2% (at 2-sigma level) in the $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age. The errors in measurement of $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{207}\text{Pb}$ and $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ also result in $\sim 1\%$ – 2% (at 2-sigma level) uncertainty in age for grains that are $> 1.0 \text{ Ga}$, but are substantially larger for younger grains due to low intensity of the ^{207}Pb signal. For most analyses, the crossover in precision of $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ and $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{207}\text{Pb}$ ages occurs at $\sim 1.0 \text{ Ga}$. Instrument setup, tuning, run parameters, standard-unknown bracketing, and data reduction followed that of Gehrels and Pecha (2014).

Common Pb correction is accomplished by using the Hg-corrected ^{204}Pb and assuming an initial Pb composition from Stacey and Kramers (1975). Uncertainties of 1.5 for $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ and 0.3 for $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ are applied to these compositional values based on the variation in Pb

isotopic composition in modern crystal rocks. For each sample, the uncertainty in determining $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{207}\text{Pb}$ and $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ ages result in generally 1%–2% (2-sigma) for both. Concentrations of U and Th are calibrated relative to our Sri Lanka zircon, which contains ~518 ppm of U and 68 ppm Th.

U-Pb Geochronology Data Reduction (University of Arizona LaserChron Center)

U-Pb geochronology analyses by LA-ICP-MS were reduced at the University of Arizona LaserChron Center following standard methods (after Gehrels et al., 2006, 2008; <https://sites.google.com/a/laserchron.org/laserchron/>). Only grains with <20% discordance and <5% reverse discordance are included in interpretations. Final ages are based on $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ if younger than 900 Ma, and $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ for ages >900 Ma. Data reduction was performed with an in-house Python decoding routine and a Microsoft *Excel* spreadsheet (*NUagecalc*).

REFERENCES CITED

- Black, L.P., Kamo, S.L., Allen, C.M., Davis, D.W., Aleinikoff, J.N., Valley, J.W., and Foudoulis, C., 2004, Improved $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ microprobe geochronology by the monitoring of a trace-element related matrix effect; SHRIMP, ID-TIMS, ELA-ICP-MS and oxygen isotope documentation for a series of zircon standards: *Chemical Geology*, v. 205, no. 1, p. 115–140, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2004.01.003>.
- Gehrels, G.E., Valencia, V., and Ruiz, J., 2008, Enhanced precision, accuracy, efficiency, and spatial resolution of U-Pb ages by laser ablation–multicollector–inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometry: *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems*, v. 9, Q03017, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GC001805>.
- Gehrels, G.E., Valencia, V., and Pullen, A., 2006, Detrital zircon geochronology by Laser-Ablation Multicollector ICPMS at the Arizona LaserChron Center, *in* Loszewski, T., and Huff, W., eds., *Geochronology: Emerging Opportunities*, Paleontology Society Short Course: Paleontology Society Papers, v. 11, 10 p.
- Gehrels, G., and Pecha, M., 2014, Detrital zircon U-Pb geochronology and Hf isotope geochemistry of Paleozoic and Triassic passive margin strata of western North America: *Geosphere*, v. 10, no. 1, p. 49–65, <https://doi.org/10.1130/GES00889.1>.
- Paces, J.B., and Miller, J.D., 1993, Precise U-Pb ages of Duluth Complex and related mafic intrusions, northeastern Minnesota: Geochronological insights to physical, petrogenetic, paleomagnetic, and tectonomagmatic processes associated with the 1.1 Ga Midcontinent Rift system: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 98, B8, p. 13,997–14,013, <https://doi.org/10.1029/93JB01159>.
- Stacey, J.S., and Kramers, J.D., 1975, Approximation of terrestrial lead isotope evolution by a two-stage model: *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, v. 26, p. 207–221, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X\(75\)90088-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(75)90088-6).